

Exhibit D

**GRANT & EISENHOFER P.A.
FIRM BIOGRAPHY**

Grant & Eisenhofer P.A. (“G&E”) concentrates on federal securities and corporate governance litigation and other complex class litigation. With over 60 attorneys, G&E primarily represents domestic and foreign institutional investors, both public and private, who have been damaged by corporate fraud, greed and mismanagement. The Firm was named to The National Law Journal’s “Plaintiffs’ Hot List” for more than a decade and is listed as one of America’s Leading Business Law Firms by Chambers & Partners, who reported that G&E “commanded respect for its representation of institutional investors in shareholder and derivative actions, and in federal securities fraud litigation.” Based in Delaware, New York, Chicago, Birmingham, and San Francisco, G&E routinely represents clients in federal and state courts throughout the country. G&E’s clients include the California Public Employees’ Retirement System, New York State Common Retirement Fund, Ohio Public Employees’ Retirement System, State of Wisconsin Investment Board, Teachers’ Retirement System of Louisiana, PIMCO, Trust Company of the West, The Capital Guardian Group and many other public and private U.S. and international institutions.

G&E was founded in 1997 by Jay W. Eisenhofer and Stuart M. Grant, former litigators in the Wilmington office of the nationally prominent firm of Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP. Over the years, the Firm’s directors have gained national reputations in securities and corporate litigation. In fact, G&E was the first law firm in the country to argue the provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act (“PSLRA”) allowing an institutional investor to be appointed as lead plaintiff in a securities class action. The Firm has gone on to build a national and international reputation as a leader in securities litigation. In both class action and “opt-out” cases, G&E has attracted widespread recognition for protecting investors’ rights and recovering their damages. RiskMetrics Group has twice recognized G&E for securing the highest average investor recovery in securities class actions.

G&E has served as lead counsel in many of the largest securities class action and derivative recoveries, including:

- \$3.2 billion settlement from Tyco International Ltd. and related defendants
- \$922 million from UnitedHealth Group
- \$486 million settlement from Pfizer
- \$448 million settlement in Global Crossing Ltd. securities litigation
- \$422 million total class recovery for investors in the stock and bonds of Refco
- \$400 million recovery from Marsh & McLennan
- \$325 million from Delphi Corp.
- \$303 million settlement from General Motors
- \$300 million settlement from DaimlerChrysler Corporation
- \$300 million recovery from Oxford Health Plans
- \$276 million judgment & settlement for Safety-Kleen bond investors

G&E has also achieved landmark results in corporate governance litigation, including:

In re UnitedHealth Group Inc. Shareholder Derivative Litigation: G&E represented the Ohio Public Employees Retirement System, State

Teachers Retirement System of Ohio, and Connecticut Retirement Plans and Trust Funds as lead plaintiffs in a derivative and class action suit in which G&E successfully challenged \$1.2 billion in back-dated options granted to William McGuire, then-CEO of health care provider UnitedHealth Group (“UHG”). This was among the first – and most egregious – examples of options backdating. G&E’s case against UHG produced a settlement of \$922 million, the largest settlement in the history of derivative litigation in any jurisdiction.

In re Digex, Inc. Shareholders Litigation – G&E initiated litigation alleging that the directors and majority stockholder of Digex, Inc. breached fiduciary duties to the company and its public shareholders by permitting the majority shareholder to usurp a corporate opportunity that belonged to Digex. G&E’s efforts in this litigation resulted in an unprecedented settlement of \$420 million, the largest settlement in the history of the Delaware Chancery Court.

Caremark / CVS Merger - G&E represented two institutional shareholders in this derivative litigation challenging the conduct of the board of directors of Caremark Rx Inc. in connection with the negotiation and execution of a merger agreement with CVS, Inc., as well as the board’s decision to reject a competing proposal from a different suitor. Through the litigation, Caremark’s board was forced to renegotiate the terms of the merger agreement with CVS. The settlement ensured statutory rights of Caremark shareholders, providing an additional \$3.19 billion in cash consideration.

Teachers’ Retirement System of Louisiana v. Greenberg, et al. and American International Group, Inc.: In what was, at the time, the largest settlement of shareholder derivative litigation in the history of the Delaware Chancery Court, G&E reached a \$115 million settlement in a lawsuit against former executives of AIG for breach of fiduciary duty. The case challenged hundreds of millions of dollars in commissions paid by AIG to C.V. Starr & Co., a privately held affiliate controlled by former AIG Chairman Maurice “Hank” Greenberg and other AIG directors. The suit alleged that AIG could have done the work for which it paid Starr, and that the commissions were simply a mechanism for Greenberg and other Starr directors to line their pockets.

AFSCME v. AIG – This historic federal appeals court ruling in favor of G&E’s client established the right, under the then-existing proxy rules, for shareholders to place the names of director candidates nominated by shareholders on corporate proxy materials – reversing over 20 years of adverse rulings from the SEC’s Division of Corporate Finance and achieving what had long been considered the “holy grail” for investor activists. Although the SEC took nearly immediate action to reverse the decision, the ruling renewed and intensified the dialogue regarding proxy access before the SEC, ultimately resulting in a new rule currently being

considered by the SEC that, if implemented, will make proxy access mandatory for every publicly traded corporation.

Unisuper Ltd. v. News Corp., et al. – G&E forced News Corp. to rescind the extension of its poison pill on the grounds that it was obtained without proper shareholder approval.

Teachers' Retirement System of Louisiana v. HealthSouth – G&E negotiated a settlement which ousted holdover board members loyal to indicted CEO Richard Scrushy and created mechanisms whereby shareholders would nominate their replacements.

Carmody v. Toll Brothers – This action initiated by G&E resulted in the seminal ruling that “dead-hand” poison pills are illegal.

In addition, the Firm’s lawyers are often called upon to testify on behalf of institutional investors before the SEC and various judicial commissions, and they frequently write and speak on securities and corporate governance issues. G&E managing director Jay Eisenhofer and director Michael Barry are co-authors of the *Shareholder Activism Handbook*, and in 2008, Jay Eisenhofer was named by *Directorship Magazine* as one of the “100 Most Influential People in Corporate Governance and the Boardroom.”

G&E is proud of its success in fighting for institutional investors in courts and other forums across the country and throughout the world.

G&E's ATTORNEYS**Jay W. Eisenhofer**

Jay Eisenhofer, co-founder and managing director of Grant & Eisenhofer P.A., has been counsel in more multi-hundred million dollar cases than any other securities litigator, including the \$3.2 billion settlement in the Tyco case, the \$922 million UnitedHealth Group settlement, the \$486 million settlement with Pfizer, the \$450 million settlement in the Global Crossing case, a \$400 million settlement with Marsh & McLennan, a \$303 million settlement with General Motors and a \$300 million settlement with DaimlerChrysler. Internationally, Mr. Eisenhofer has organized cases on behalf of investors leading to substantial recoveries, including the \$1.5 billion settlement with Fortis in the Netherlands, the \$1 billion recovery against Royal Bank of Scotland in the United Kingdom, and the historic \$450 million pan-European settlement in the Royal Dutch Shell case in the Netherlands. Mr. Eisenhofer was also the lead attorney in the seminal cases of *American Federation of State, County & Municipal Employees, Employees Pension Plan v. American International Group, Inc.*, where the U.S. Court of Appeals required shareholder proxy access reversing years of SEC no-action letters, and *Carmody v. Toll Brothers*, wherein the Delaware Court of Chancery first ruled that so-called “dead-hand” poison pills violated Delaware law.

Mr. Eisenhofer has served as litigation counsel to many public and private institutional investors, including, among others, Amalgamated Bank, APG Asset Management, California Public Employees Retirement System, California State Teachers Retirement System, Colorado Public Employees Retirement Association, the Florida State Board of Administration, John Hancock, Louisiana State Employees Retirement System, New York City Retirement Funds, Inc., and Service Employees International Union.

Mr. Eisenhofer is consistently ranked as a leading securities and corporate governance litigator and he has been named by Lawdragon to its annual list of the top 500 lawyers in America for several consecutive years. He is also recognized by Benchmark Litigation as one of the Top 100 Trial Lawyers. *The National Law Journal* has selected Grant & Eisenhofer to its “Plaintiffs’ Hot List” as one of the top plaintiffs’ law firms in the country since the List’s inception, earning the firm a place in *The National Law Journal*’s “Plaintiffs’ Hot List Hall Of Fame” in 2008, as well as to its list of “Elite Trial Lawyers: The 50 Leading Plaintiffs Firms in America” since commencement of the list. The firm has been selected as a “Most Feared Plaintiffs Firm” by *Law360* as “one of the most high-profile shareholder and whistleblower advocates in the country, securing record-high cash settlements.” *U.S. News & World Report* has also repeatedly named Grant & Eisenhofer to its list of “Best Law Firms” in the fields of Securities Litigation, Commercial Litigation, and Corporate Law. Mr. Eisenhofer is rated AV by Martindale-Hubbell.

Mr. Eisenhofer has written and lectured widely on securities fraud and insurance coverage litigation, business and employment torts, directors' and officers' liability coverage, and the Delaware law of shareholder rights and directorial responsibilities. Among the publications he has authored: “The Shareholders Activism Handbook” Aspen Publishers; “Proxy Access Takes Center Stage – The Second Circuit’s Decision in *AFSCME Employees Pension Plan v. American International Group, Inc.*” *Bloomberg Law Reports*, Vol. 1, No. 5; “Investor Litigation in the U.S. - The System is Working” *Securities Reform Act Litigation Reporter*, Vol. 22, #5; “*In re Walt Disney Co. Deriv. Litig.* and the Duty of Good Faith Under Delaware Corporate Law” *Bank*

& *Corporate Governance Law Reporter*, Vol. 37, #1; “Institutional Investors As Trend-Setters In Post-PSLRA Securities Litigation” *Practising Law Institute*; “*In re Cox Communications, Inc.*: A Suggested Step in the Wrong Direction,” *Bank and Corporate Governance Law Reporter*, Vol. 35, #1; “Does Corporate Governance Matter to Investment Returns?” *Corporate Accountability Report*, Vol. 3, No. 37; “Loss Causation in Light of Dura: Who is Getting it Wrong?” *Securities Reform Act Litigation Reporter*, Vol. 20, #1; “Giving Substance to the Right to Vote: An Initiative to Amend Delaware Law to Require a Majority Vote in Director Elections,” *Corporate Governance Advisor*, Vol. 13, #1; “An Invaluable Tool in Corporate Reform: Pension Fund Leadership Improves Securities Litigation Process,” *Pensions & Investments*; and “Securities Fraud, Stock Price Valuation, and Loss Causation: Toward a Corporate Finance-Based Theory of Loss Causation,” *Business Lawyer*. Mr. Eisenhofer has also authored a number of articles on illiquid and rouge hedge funds, including “Time for Hedge Funds to Become Accountable to Fiduciary Investors,” *Pensions & Investments*; and “Hedge Funds of the Living Dead,” *New York Times Dealbook*.

Mr. Eisenhofer serves as a member of the NYU Law School Advisory Board for the Center on Civil Justice. He is a graduate of the University of Pittsburgh, and a 1986 *magna cum laude* graduate of Villanova University School of Law, Order of the Coif. He was a law clerk to the Honorable Vincent A. Cirillo, President Judge of the Pennsylvania Superior Court and thereafter joined the Wilmington office of Skadden Arps Slate Meagher & Flom. Mr. Eisenhofer was a partner in the Wilmington office of Blank Rome Comisky & McCauley until forming Grant & Eisenhofer P.A. in 1997.

Jeff A. Almeida

Jeff Almeida is a director at Grant & Eisenhofer practicing in the areas of Delaware corporate litigation and both domestic and international securities litigation.

Mr. Almeida has a wide breadth of complex commercial litigation experience, with over 22 years of practice. He has primarily represented domestic and foreign institutional investors in prominent securities fraud class actions and opt-out cases, including *In re JPMorgan Chase & Co. Securities Litigation (London Whale)* (S.D.N.Y.); *In re Medtronic Securities Litigation* (D. Minn.); *In re Refco Inc. Securities Litigation* (S.D.N.Y.); *In re Merck & Co., Inc. Vytarin/Zetia Securities Litigation* (D.N.J.); *In re Bank of America/Merrill Lynch Securities Litigation* (S.D.N.Y.); *In re Pfizer Inc. Securities Litigation* (S.D.N.Y.); *In re Global Cash Access Holdings Securities Litigation* (D. Nev.); and *In re Career Education Corp. Securities Litigation* (S.D. Ill.). In addition, Mr. Almeida has played prominent roles in international securities cases involving RBS (U.K.), Volkswagen (Germany), and Danske Bank (Denmark).

Mr. Almeida has also been actively engaged in derivative, class, and appraisal litigation in the Delaware Court of Chancery, including the matters *In re Tyson Foods, Inc. Consolidated Shareholder Litigation*, which resulted in historic rulings clarifying the fiduciary duties of corporate directors in connection with the administration of stock option plans; *Louisiana Municipal Police Employees’ Retirement System v. Crawford (Caremark)*, a well-publicized derivative action challenging the terms of the Caremark and CVS merger that resulted in a \$3.2 billion settlement; and *In re Genentech Inc. Shareholder Litigation*, where he successfully represented Genentech minority stockholders in controlling stockholder Roche’s attempt to squeeze out the minority to seize full control of Genentech.

Grant & Eisenhofer currently leverages Mr. Almeida's broad experience and success in stockholder litigation to manage the firm's investigation and development of new cases. In this role, Mr. Almeida conducts in-depth investigations into dozens of potential securities fraud claims, and other derivative and corporate governance matters, in order to develop the legal theories that support Grant & Eisenhofer's litigation efforts.

Prior to joining Grant & Eisenhofer in August 2004, Mr. Almeida was affiliated for six years as an attorney with a major Philadelphia defense firm, where he practiced in the areas of complex commercial litigation and class action defense.

Mr. Almeida is a 1994 graduate of Trinity College in Hartford, Connecticut, where he captained the varsity basketball team and achieved election to Phi Beta Kappa, and a 1997 graduate of William and Mary Law School in Williamsburg, Virginia. Mr. Almeida is admitted to practice in Delaware, Pennsylvania, and New Jersey, along with several federal courts.

Thomas V. Ayala

Thomas Ayala is a director at Grant & Eisenhofer, focusing on complex pharmaceutical and medical device litigation. Mr. Ayala has handled all phases of mass tort, personal injury, environmental and commercial litigation from commencement through trial and appeals. He has also assembled and worked with numerous interdisciplinary teams of expert witnesses to support clients' legal claims, and he has served as first-chair cross-examiner of adversarial experts and other witnesses.

Mr. Ayala is actively in litigation against major pharmaceutical companies, medical device manufacturers, and manufacturers in other industries. Mr. Ayala serves on the Law and Briefing Committee for the Plaintiff's Steering Committee in *In re Xarelto Products Liability Litigation*, MDL No. 2592, serves as Co-Chair of the Science and Expert Committee and as a member of the Law and Briefing Committee for the Plaintiff's Steering Committee in *In re Zofran (ondansetron) Products Liability Litigation*, MDL No. 2657 (where G&E is co-lead), and power morcellators (where G&E is a member of the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee in *In re Power Morcellator Products Liability Litigation*, MDL No. 2652). Mr. Ayala is also representing individuals adversely affected by defective metal-on-metal hips and Essure®.

Prior to his representation of individuals and victims of consumer fraud, Mr. Ayala worked for an international firm serving as national counsel in numerous mass tort proceedings, including pharmaceutical, medical device, environmental exposure, commercial and other complex litigation, including multidistrict litigation proceedings.

Immediately following law school, Mr. Ayala was a law clerk to Judge Eduardo C. Robreno of the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, where he assisted the judge in presiding over seven jury trials and contributed to the administration of justice in matters arising under federal and state law.

Mr. Ayala was selected as a Product Liability "Rising Star" in Law360's 2016 list of Top Attorneys Under 40 and co-authored "Overcoming the Clear Evidence Defense," published in the July 2016 issue of *Trial* magazine.

Mr. Ayala earned his J.D., *summa cum laude*, from Villanova University School of Law in 2004, where he served as editor-in-chief of the *Villanova Law Review* and was named to the Order of the Coif. At Villanova, Mr. Ayala served as an intern to the late Judge Charles R. Weiner.

Michael J. Barry

Michael Barry is a director at Grant & Eisenhofer focusing on corporate governance and securities litigation. For over thirteen years, he has represented institutional investors in litigation relating to securities fraud, corporate fiduciary responsibilities, shareholder proposals under SEC Rule 14a-8, and corporate governance generally. As a foremost practitioner in these areas, Mr. Barry has been significantly involved in groundbreaking class action recoveries, corporate governance reforms and shareholders rights litigation.

He has been instrumental in landmark corporate governance cases, including *AFSCME v. AIG*, which recognized shareholders' right to introduce proxy access proposals; *Bebchuk v. CA, Inc.*, which allowed shareholders to introduce proposals restricting a board's ability to enact poison pills; and *CA, Inc. v. AFSCME*, a historic decision of the Delaware Supreme Court regarding the authority of shareholders to adopt corporate bylaws. His casework includes the Genentech Shareholder Litigation, resulting in an increase of \$3 billion in value for shareholders arising from a corporate merger; a \$922 million settlement in the UnitedHealth Group derivative litigation, resolving one of the most egregious examples of options backdating; an \$89.4 million recovery for stockholders of Del Monte Foods Co. in a case that exposed significant conflicts of interest in staple financing in corporate mergers; and a \$153.75 million recovery in a derivative action on behalf of Freeport-McMoRan Corporation shareholders, which included, for the first time in derivative litigation, a provision that the entire cash portion of the recovery—\$147.5 million—be distributed to shareholders in the form of a special dividend.

Mr. Barry has spoken widely on corporate governance and related matters. In addition to having served as a guest lecturer at Harvard Law School, he speaks at numerous conferences each year. Mr. Barry has authored several published writings, including the *Shareholder Activism Handbook*, a comprehensive guide for shareholders regarding their legal rights as owners of corporations, which he co-authored. In 2015, Mr. Barry was selected to the Markets Advisory Council for the Council of Institutional Investors.

Prior to joining Grant & Eisenhofer, Mr. Barry practiced at a large Philadelphia-based firm, where he defended the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania, the Pennsylvania Senate and Pennsylvania state court judges in a variety of trial and appellate matters. He is a 1990 graduate of Carnegie Mellon University and graduated *summa cum laude* in 1993 from the University of Pittsburgh School of Law, where he was an Executive Editor of the *University of Pittsburgh Law Review* and a member of the Order of the Coif.

Daniel L. Berger

Daniel Berger is a director at Grant & Eisenhofer. Prior to joining the firm, Mr. Berger was a partner at two major plaintiffs' class action firms in New York, where he litigated complex securities and discrimination class actions for twenty-two years.

Mr. Berger's experience includes trying three 10b-5 securities class actions to jury verdicts, which are among very few such cases ever tried, as well as trials in Delaware Chancery Court. He served as principal lead counsel in many of the largest securities litigation cases in history, achieving successful recoveries for classes of investors in cases including *In re JPMorgan Chase & Co. Securities Litigation* (\$150 million); *In re Merck Vytarin/Zetia Securities Litigation* (\$215 million); *In re Cendant Corp. Securities Litigation* (\$3.3 billion); *In re Lucent Technologies, Inc. Securities Litigation* (\$675 million); *In re Bristol-Myers Squibb Securities Litigation* (\$300 million); *In re Daimler Chrysler A.G. Securities Litigation* (\$300 million); *In re Conseco, Inc. Securities Litigation* (\$120 million); *In re Symbol Technologies Securities Litigation* (\$139 million); and *In re OM Group Securities Litigation* (\$92 million).

Mr. Berger has successfully argued several appeals that made new law favorable to investors, including *In re Suprema Specialties, Inc. Securities Litigation*, 438 F.3d 256 (3d Cir. 2005); *McCall v. Scott*, 250 F.3d 997 (6th Cir. 2001) and *Fine v. American Solar King Corp.*, 919 F.2d 290 (5th Cir. 1990.) In addition, Mr. Berger was lead class counsel in many important discrimination class actions, in particular *Roberts v. Texaco, Inc.*, where he represented African-American employees of Texaco and achieved the then largest settlement (\$175 million) of a race discrimination class action.

Mr. Berger is a member of the faculty of Columbia University School of Law, where he is a Lecturer in Law. He also serves on the Board of Visitors of the Law School. Previously, Mr. Berger was a member of the Board of Managers of Haverford College from 2000-2003. He is a member of the Board of Directors (and was Board co-Chair) of the GO Project, a not-for profit organization that provides academic support for New York City public school students. He also serves on the Board of the Madison Square Park Conservancy, a public-private partnership that operates and preserves one of New York City's great parks.

Mr. Berger is a 1976 graduate of Haverford College, and graduated in 1979 from Columbia University School of Law.

Nathan A. Cook

Nathan Cook is a director at Grant & Eisenhofer and focuses his practice on trial and appellate litigation relating to Delaware corporation and alternative entity law. Mr. Cook has litigated a variety of Delaware law matters, including numerous matters relating to the fiduciary duties of directors, officers and controlling stockholders, appraisal rights, and stockholder inspections of corporate books and records, as well as disputes relating to corporate contests for control, the post-merger treatment of options and merger earn-outs.

Mr. Cook has litigated multiple complex matters before the Delaware Court of Chancery and the Delaware Supreme Court including *In re Dole Food Co. Stockholder Litigation* and *In re Dole Food Co. Appraisal Litigation*, stockholder class and appraisal litigation resulting in a damages award of \$148 million, plus interest, following a nine-day trial; *In re News Corporation Shareholder Derivative Litigation*, a stockholder lawsuit resulting in a \$139 million settlement; *In re Clear Channel Outdoor Holdings, Inc. Derivative Litigation*, resulting in a settlement which returned \$200 million to Clear Channel Outdoor Holdings' stockholders; *In re Delphi Financial Group Shareholder Litigation*, a stockholder class action resulting in a \$49 million settlement; and *Indiana Electrical Workers Pension Trust Fund IBEW v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.*, a

stockholder books and records lawsuit that resulted in a landmark Delaware Supreme Court ruling recognizing the “Garner doctrine” as Delaware law.

Prior to joining Grant & Eisenhofer, Mr. Cook served as lead trial counsel for a stockholder seeking to replace incumbent directors in a hostile takeover, successfully representing the stockholder in stock-list litigation, litigation to compel a stockholders meeting, defeat of the incumbent directors’ request for temporary restraining order concerning compliance with advance notice bylaws, and a highly-contested stockholders meeting. Mr. Cook’s prior experience also includes *Lillis, et al. v. AT&T and AT&T Wireless*, a successful action to recover the value of out-of-the-money stock options, which were cancelled in the AT&T-Cingular Wireless merger, on behalf of former directors and executive officers of MediaOne.

Mr. Cook also has significant experience providing corporate advisory services on a variety of matters relating to Delaware law—e.g., advising directors (including special committees) and officers in connection with mergers and other strategic transactions; charters, bylaws, and stockholder rights plans; and dividends and distributions.

In October 2019, Mr. Cook led a roundtable discussion on “D&O Fiduciary Duties during Insolvency” sponsored by the Institutional Investor Educational Foundation. In May 2019, Mr. Cook served as a litigation panelist for the Delaware State Bar Association’s conference “Hot Topics in Delaware Corporate Law: Updates That Transactional Lawyers and Litigators Need to Know — A View from the Bench and Bar.” Mr. Cook also spoke on the Securities Litigation Panel at the May 2017 Perrin Class Action Litigation Conference; the Council of Institutional Investor’s June 2018 teleconference on Recent Developments in Delaware Case Law and Changes to the Delaware General Corporation Law; the “M&A and Advising the Board” panel at the Delaware Law Issues Update Conference hosted by the John L. Weinberg Center and the Society of Corporate Secretaries & Governance Professionals in November 2015; and the “Section 220 Litigation” panel at the Practising Law Institute’s seminar “Delaware Law Developments 2015: What All Business Lawyers Need to Know.”

Mr. Cook co-authored *Delaware Supreme Court Okays One-Way Fee-Shifting Bylaws*, AAJ (Summer 2014), *The Delaware Supreme Court Weighs in on Fiduciary Duties to Creditors*, Insights (June 2007), and *Frequently Asked Questions, Answers and More Questions about the Business Strategy Immunity*, PLI (2011).

Mr. Cook was listed in the 2019 Lawdragon 500 Leading Plaintiff Financial Lawyers guide, which showcases the best of the U.S. plaintiff bar who specialize in representing individual investors and shareholders. In both 2015 and 2019, he was selected to The National Trial Lawyers: Top 40 Under 40. Mr. Cook is a member of the Richard S. Rodney Inn of Court, the Delaware State Bar Association, and the Delaware Trial Lawyers Association.

Mr. Cook received his B.A., with distinction, from the University of Virginia in 2002, where he majored in economics and history and was a Jefferson Scholar and an Echols Scholar. He received his J.D. from the University of Virginia in 2005, where he served on the Editorial Board for the *Virginia Environmental Law Journal*. Following graduation from law school, Mr. Cook served as a law clerk to the Honorable John W. Noble of the Delaware Court of Chancery.

Diandra “Fu” Debrosse Zimmermann

Diandra (“Fu”) Debrosse Zimmermann is a director at Grant & Eisenhofer focusing her practice on the representation of public entities and on complex litigation, including pharmaceutical, medical device, data breach, environmental contamination and civil rights litigation.

Prior to joining G&E, Ms. Debrosse was a principal at an Alabama-based law firm where she represented over fifty cities and counties against pharmaceutical manufacturers and distributors in the Opiate MDL. Over her career, she has successfully litigated mass tort, class action, product liability, discrimination, and civil sexual assault claims. Ms. Debrosse currently works with a number of public entity clients across the country. She is also serving on the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee for the *In re Smith & Nephew Birmingham Hip Resurfacing (BHR) Hip Implant Liability Litigation* (MDL No. 2775).

Among her many accolades, Ms. Debrosse, was selected by the National Trial Lawyers in the “Top 40 Under 40,” as well as the “Top 10 Under 40” for the State of Alabama by the National Academy of Personal Injury Attorneys, Inc. She was named to The National Trial Lawyers: Top 100, recognized in the list of America's Top 100 High Stakes Litigators®, selected for inclusion to *Alabama Super Lawyers* for several years, and named as a Top Woman Attorney by *B-Metro* magazine. Ms. Debrosse is AV-rated by Martindale-Hubbell.

Ms. Debrosse serves on the Board of Governors for the American Association for Justice, the Board of Governors of the Southern Trial Lawyer Association, and is a current member of the Board of Bar Commissioners for the Alabama State Bar. Ms. Debrosse has the distinction of serving as a Disciplinary Hearing Officer for the Alabama State Bar General Counsel’s Office. Ms. Debrosse served two terms as a member of the Executive Committee of the Birmingham Bar Association, is a former President of the Magic City Bar Association, and is a past Vice President of the Alabama State Bar.

Ms. Debrosse earned her B.A., *summa cum laude*, in English Literature from the City College of the City University of New York, where she was an Isaacs Fellow. She received her J.D. from the Case Western Reserve University School of Law, where she received a leadership grant and many other awards. She is fluent in French, Haitian Creole, and Spanish.

Robert G. Eisler

Robert Eisler is a director at Grant & Eisenhofer and leads the firm’s antitrust practice. Mr. Eisler has been involved in many significant antitrust class action cases in recent years. He is experienced in numerous industries, including pharmaceuticals, paper products, construction materials, industrial chemicals, processed foods, municipal securities, and consumer goods.

Mr. Eisler is currently serving as co-lead counsel in several cases, including *Gordon et al. v. Amadeus et al.*, *In re London Silver Fixing, Ltd. Antitrust Litigation* and *In re Keurig Green Mountain Single-Serve Coffee Antitrust Litigation*. He has served as lead or co-lead counsel in many other significant antitrust cases, including *In re Buspirone Antitrust Litigation* (which led to a \$90 million settlement in which presiding Judge Koeltl stated that the plaintiffs’ attorneys had done “a stupendous job”), *In re Ciprofloxacin Hydrochloride Antitrust Litigation*, *In re Flat Glass Antitrust Litigation*, and *In re Municipal Derivatives Antitrust Litigation*.

Mr. Eisler has played major roles in a number of other significant antitrust cases, including *In re Polyurethane Foam Antitrust Litigation*, *In re Blue Cross/Blue Shield Antitrust Litigation*, and *In re Linerboard Antitrust Litigation*. He also has significant experience litigating antitrust matters in the UK, including cases concerning cartels in a number of industries, such as air cargo services, air passenger services, automotive glass, and pharmaceuticals, among others.

In addition to his antitrust work, Mr. Eisler has extensive experience in securities, derivative, complex commercial and class action litigation at the trial and appellate levels. He has been involved in numerous securities and derivative litigation matters on behalf of public pension funds, municipalities, mutual fund companies and individual investors in state and federal courts.

Mr. Eisler graduated from LaSalle University in 1986, and in 1989, from Villanova University School of Law.

Deborah A. Elman

Deborah Elman is a director at Grant & Eisenhofer, where she has nearly two decades experience representing both plaintiffs and defendants in high-profile antitrust and securities litigation, with a particular focus litigating claims in the pharmaceutical, financial services, and commodities industries. She has represented institutional clients and individuals in an array of complex civil litigation cases, including class actions, opt-outs, derivative actions, and arbitrations. Ms. Elman is also Co-Director of the Grant & Eisenhofer ESG Institute.

Currently, Ms. Elman serves as co-lead counsel in several cases, including *In re Payment Card Interchange Fee & Merchant Discount Antitrust Litigation*, *In re London Silver Fixing, Ltd. Antitrust Litigation*, and *In re Keurig Green Mountain Single-Serve Coffee Antitrust Litigation*. She is a member of the Executive Committee in *In Re: Humira (Adalimumab) Antitrust Litigation*, and class counsel in *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, *In re Novartis and Par Antitrust Litigation (Exforge)*, *In re Restasis (Cyclosporine Ophthalmic Emulsion) Antitrust Litigation*, *In re Foreign Exchange Benchmark Rates Antitrust Litigation ("FOREX")*, *In re Broiler Chicken Antitrust Litigation*, *In re Pork Antitrust Litigation*, and *In re Diisocyanates Antitrust Litigation*, among others.

Ms. Elman litigated and settled numerous cases related to the financial crisis, including more than fifteen actions arising out of wrongdoing involving the issuance of residential mortgage-backed securities ("RMBS") and other complex financial products, and was class counsel in *Alaska Electrical Pension Fund v. Bank of America Corporation et al. ("ISDAFix")*. Additionally, Ms. Elman was a member of the litigation teams that successfully represented the lead plaintiff in a case dubbed "The Enron of India," *In re Satyam Computer Services Ltd. Securities Litigation*, which settled for \$150.5 million, and *In re Kinder Morgan Energy Partners, L.P. Derivative Litigation*, which settled for \$27.5 million. She represented institutional investors in *In re Merck and Co., Inc. Securities, Derivative & ERISA Litigation* and *In re Petrobras Securities Litigation*, resulting in substantial investor recoveries.

Prior to joining Grant & Eisenhofer, Ms. Elman represented clients before the SEC, DOJ, and state regulators, and participated in numerous appearances before federal and state courts as an associate at a leading New York law firm.

Ms. Elman served as a law clerk for the Honorable William L. Standish, United States District Judge, in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania, participating in all aspects of federal trial court practice.

Ms. Elman graduated *cum laude* in 2001 from the University of Pittsburgh School of Law, where she was Lead Executive Editor of the *Journal of Law and Commerce*. She received a Masters of Public Health degree in 1997 from Columbia University, where she also graduated *cum laude* with a Bachelor of Arts degree in 1995.

Kimberly A. Evans

Kimberly Evans is a director within the firm's civil rights practice group and a dynamic advocate for victims of wrongful incarceration, sexual assault, and other forms of harassment. Her current representations include, among others:

- *Aguirre-Jarquín v. Hemmert et al.* (M.D. Fla.), an action alleging Section 1983 and related claims against defendants relating to the investigation leading to plaintiff's death row sentence and 14 years of wrongful incarceration for two murders that he did not commit.
- *Sterling et al. v. Evanston Township High School District 202 et al.* (N.D. Ill.), an action alleging Section 1983, Title IX, and related claims in connection with the sexual assault and inappropriate grooming of plaintiff and other female students by the school's safety officers.
- *Pambakian v. Blatt et al.* (C.D. Cal.), an action alleging that the plaintiff, a former senior executive of the dating app Tinder, was sexually assaulted by her boss, that her employer attempted to cover up the incident by offering her compensation, and that she was later terminated for reporting the incident. This case is currently on appeal before the Ninth Circuit, where the court is being asked to consider the important issue of forced arbitration in sexual assault cases.

Ms. Evans is an experienced trial lawyer who has litigated a number of complex corporate matters, including *In re Dole Food Co. Stockholder Litigation* and *In re Dole Food Co. Appraisal Litigation*, a stockholder class and appraisal litigation resulting in a damages award of \$148 million, plus interest, following a nine-day trial in Delaware Chancery Court. The *Dole* litigation represents one of the largest recoveries in a non-derivative action in the history of the Delaware Chancery Court. Ms. Evans has tried a number of cases before the Delaware Court of Chancery, including *In re Appraisal of DFC Global, Corp.*, *In re Appraisal of PetSmart, Inc.*, and *In re Appraisal of Jarden Corporation*; and litigated foreign appraisal actions in the Cayman Islands, including *In the matter of Nord Anglia Education*.

Prior to joining Grant & Eisenhofer, Ms. Evans worked as a paralegal in the Juvenile Division of the Philadelphia District Attorney's Office. Ms. Evans is a member of the Delaware State Bar Association and the Delaware Chapter of the Rodney Inn of Court. In 2017, Ms. Evans was selected as one of the Legal 500 Next Generation Lawyers in the area of Plaintiff M&A Litigation. In 2019, she was again selected by Legal 500 as a Rising Star.

Elizabeth (Beth) Graham

Elizabeth (“Beth”) Graham is a director at Grant & Eisenhofer. She leads the firm’s complex pharmaceutical and medical device litigation practice and serves as a member of the firm’s Executive Committee. Ms. Graham has spent most of her career as a plaintiffs’ lawyer advocating for the rights of individuals and families harmed by large corporations. Ms. Graham also represents survivors of sexual assault and sexual harassment that occurred in the workplace or by a trusted professional.

Ms. Graham’s expertise spans the practice areas of mass tort, consumer fraud, product liability, environmental, business torts and gender rights claims. She has served as Lead Counsel in multi-million dollar cases, has acted as a member of various Plaintiffs’ Executive Committees in complex actions, and has prior experience as national defense coordination counsel in product liability and environmental litigation.

Ms. Graham is actively representing thousands of injured victims in various cases against corporations, including pharmaceutical companies, medical device manufacturers, public utility and tech companies. Currently, she serves as Co-Lead on the Plaintiffs’ Executive Committee and as Chair of the Law & Briefing Committee in *In re Zofran (Ondansetron) Products Liability Litigation* (MDL No. 2657); as Liaison Counsel, a member of the Executive Committee and as Chair of the Law & Briefing Committee in *In re Essure Product Cases* (JCCP 4887); and as Co-Lead counsel in the *In re Columbia Gas Explosion Cases* (Mass. Sup. Ct.) in which she was a principal negotiator of the recent \$143 million class action settlement.

Ms. Graham serves in leadership in *Gilead Tenofovir Cases and Coordinated Actions, Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 5043* representing hundreds of people harmed by certain HIV drugs manufactured by California biotech giant Gilead Sciences. She has served on the Plaintiffs’ Steering Committee in *In re Power Morcellator Products Liability Litigation* (MDL No. 2652); and is serving as a member of the Plaintiffs’ Steering Committee in *In re Stryker LFIT V40 Femoral Head Products Liability Litigation* (MDL No. 2768); and in *In re Smith & Nephew Birmingham Hip Resurfacing (BHR) Hip Implant Products Liability Litigation* (MDL No. 2775). Ms. Graham also served as co-chair of the Law & Briefing Committee for *In re Xarelto Products Liability Litigation* (MDL No. 2592). Additionally, Ms. Graham represents victims of the Paradise, California Wildfires (2018).

Prior to joining G&E, Ms. Graham served on the Plaintiffs’ Executive Committee and represented victims in the *In re Sulzer Hip Prosthesis and Knee Prosthesis Liability Litigation* (California JCCP 4165). She has served as Lead Counsel on the Plaintiffs’ Executive Committee in high profile class actions such as *Borman Automotive v. American Honda Motor Corp.* (MDL No. 1069), which resulted in a \$435 million settlement; and litigation against Chrysler based on its Minivan Doorlatch failures and ABS brake defects. She has also represented hundreds of families injured by environmental contaminants, including radon, arsenic and rocket fuel, resulting in confidential settlements in excess of \$25 million. Ms. Graham also has vast experience as a consultant to other mass tort firms that seek her advice in structuring their cases.

Ms. Graham is an accomplished speaker, often presenting at educational programs sponsored by the American Association for Justice (AAJ); Mass Torts Made Perfect; Harris Martin; and Masters of Mass Tort. Additionally, Ms. Graham is Co-Chair of the AAJ Zofran Litigation

Group, and is a member of AAJ's Publications Committee. She is a co-author of "Overcoming the Clear Evidence Defense," published in the July 2016 issue of *Trial* magazine, as well as "Medical Monitoring," published in the July 2018 issue of *Trial*.

In 2018, Ms. Graham was selected to receive the Lifetime Achievement award by America's Top 100 Attorneys®.

Prior to her representation of injured individuals, Ms. Graham worked for large product liability defense firms as national defense counsel and was a partner at prominent San Francisco Bay area law firms.

Olav A. Haazen

Olav Haazen, PhD, is a director at Grant & Eisenhofer. His areas of practice include cross-border securities fraud and antitrust litigation.

Mr. Haazen has significant experience representing foreign and domestic plaintiffs in a variety of antitrust and fraud actions. Most recently, he successfully represented a class of Fortis investors for whom he helped negotiate a record-high \$1.5 billion settlement of all investment fraud claims in the Netherlands and Belgium. Other representations, past and present, include:

- nearly 300 institutional investors from around the world seeking recovery from Volkswagen in German court in connection with its well-publicized manipulation of emissions controls;
- a large group of Laiki and Bank of Cyprus bondholders and depositors with ICSID arbitration claims against Cyprus, whose interests were wiped as part of the 2013 Cyprus bank bail-out;
- foreign Madoff investors on fraud and negligence claims against feeder fund defendants and their auditors, custodians, and administrators;
- a French *qui tam* plaintiff in litigation arising out of the sale of Executive Life Insurance Company; and
- a large regional bakery in its successful monopolization suit against a competitor.

Mr. Haazen has also represented two classes of professional fashion models in price-fixing and consumer fraud actions, which resulted in a virtually unprecedented 100% recovery of all claimants' losses, as well as substantial injunctive relief, which Justice Ramos of the New York Supreme Court lauded as a model for legislative reform.

Prior to joining G&E, Mr. Haazen was counsel at a prominent national law firm, where he successfully represented major corporate clients and individuals in several high-profile RICO, securities, and government investigation matters and commercial disputes, including a well-known playwright against a civil forfeiture claim arising out of Kenneth Starr's "Ponzi" scheme; a utilities company in a significant contract dispute with Enron; and one of the largest franchisors in professional sports in a \$1.2 billion monopolization suit. He has also represented several government entities and officials, including a Westchester County municipality in a \$600 million lawsuit by Donald Trump's Seven Springs LLC, as well as the City and Mayor of Amsterdam, and a foreign country's former Secretary of State.

From 2010-2011, Mr. Haazen served on the American Bar Association's seven-member Standing Committee for Amicus Curiae briefs and the Third-Party Litigation Funding Study Group. From 1996-2001, he served as a Country Reporter for the Netherlands for the European Restatement of Torts, and recently as a Netherlands Reporter to the 17th International Congress of Comparative Law. Mr. Haazen teaches comparative civil procedure and cross-border litigation at Leiden University in the Netherlands, and previously taught at Harvard, Stanford, and Oxford. He has written several books and over 40 articles and case notes. He is admitted as solicitor in England and Wales, and as arbitrator at the Netherlands Arbitration Institute and at the Center for Dispute Resolution (CEDIRES) in Belgium.

Christine M. Mackintosh

Christine Mackintosh is a director at Grant & Eisenhofer, practicing in the areas of corporate and securities litigation. She has represented institutional investors, both public and private, in corporate cases in the Delaware Court of Chancery and in securities fraud class actions in federal courts throughout the country.

Ms. Mackintosh's practice primarily focuses on litigation in the Delaware Court of Chancery, where she has played significant roles in several landmark actions challenging mergers and acquisitions (including *In re Del Monte Foods Company Shareholder Litigation*, which resulted in an \$89.4 million recovery for the class, and *In re El Paso Corporation Shareholder Litigation*, which resulted in a \$110 million recovery for the class) and in several successful shareholder derivative actions (including *In re American International Group, Inc. Consolidated Derivative Litigation*, which resulted in a \$90 million recovery, one of the largest recoveries in a shareholder derivative action in the history of the Delaware Court of Chancery). Ms. Mackintosh is currently prosecuting a derivative action on behalf of McKesson Corporation relating to the company's failure to adequately oversee its sales of opioid drugs, which resulted in the company agreeing to pay a record \$150 million civil penalty for its violations of DEA requirements relating to the reporting of suspicious orders; a class action on behalf of unitholders of Regency Energy Partners LP challenging a conflicted transaction in which Regency was merged into Energy Transfer Partners L.P. at an unfair price; and a derivative and class action challenging the acquisition of SolarCity Corporation by Tesla Motors, Inc.

Ms. Mackintosh is a leading member of G&E's appraisal litigation practice and has tried numerous appraisal cases in the Court of Chancery, including *In re Appraisal of Dell, Inc.*, *In re Appraisal of Solera Holdings, Inc.*, and *Verition Partners Master Fund Ltd. v. Aruba Networks, Inc.* Following a closely watched Delaware Supreme Court argument in the *Aruba* appraisal, Ms. Mackintosh obtained a reversal of the Chancery Court's decision that Aruba's fair value equaled its unaffected stock price. Ms. Mackintosh is currently representing clients pursuing their appraisal rights against Nord Anglia Education in the Grand Court of the Cayman Islands.

In addition to her Chancery Court practice, Ms. Mackintosh has played a significant role in a number of securities fraud class actions that have achieved substantial recoveries for classes of investors, including *In re JP Morgan Chase & Co. Securities Litigation* (\$150 million recovery), *In re Refco Securities Litigation* (\$400 million recovery), and *In re Merck & Co., Inc. Vytarin/Zetia Securities Litigation* (\$215 million recovery), and on behalf of individual and institutional investors who have opted out of class actions to pursue individual suits, including representation of investors who opted out of *In re Bank of America Corporation Securities*,

Derivative & ERISA Litigation. Outside of the United States, Ms. Mackintosh was a member of the team that secured the historic \$450 million pan-European settlement in the *Royal Dutch Shell* case in the Netherlands and the \$1 billion settlement in the *Royal Bank of Scotland* case in the United Kingdom. She is currently representing institutional investors in connection with litigation against Volkswagen AG in Germany.

A *magna cum laude* graduate of St. Joseph's University, Ms. Mackintosh earned her law degree at the University of Pennsylvania Law School. She is the co-author of two articles published by the Practising Law Institute's *Corporate Law & Practice Course Handbook Series*. "Ethical Issues and Their Impact on Securities Litigation," published in September-October, 2003, was co-authored with Marc J. Sonnenfeld, Viveca D. Parker and Marisel Acosta. "Lessons From Sarbanes-Oxley: The Importance of Independence In Internal Corporate Investigations," published in July, 2003, was co-authored with Alfred J. Lechner, Jr.

Kyle J. McGee

Kyle McGee is a director at Grant & Eisenhofer. Mr. McGee's practice focuses on sovereign and public entity representation in the areas of environmental and consumer protection, as well as whistleblower/*qui tam* representation. Mr. McGee also has expertise in securities, commodities, and ERISA litigation.

Mr. McGee currently serves as special counsel to several state Attorneys General and municipalities in environmental and consumer protection litigation. Mr. McGee is prosecuting environmental claims against Monsanto Co. arising out of that company's production, marketing, and sale of toxic PCBs, which now contaminate natural resources and municipal stormwater systems throughout the nation, and against 3M Co. and other manufacturers of toxic firefighting foam laced with toxic PFAS chemicals, which now contaminate groundwater, drinking water, and other public resources. Mr. McGee was named to the Environmental Trial Lawyers Association Top 10 for Delaware, and serves on the Executive Committee for the ETLA.

Mr. McGee partners with additional state Attorneys General and municipalities, including public employee health plans, pursuing consumer protection litigation against pharmaceutical manufacturers, pharmacy benefit managers (PBMs), and others in the healthcare industry.

He is a court-appointed member of the international liaison committee in the global consumer class action against Apple, Inc., arising out of its alleged throttling of iPhone/iPad device performance in 2017. Additionally, Mr. McGee is a member of teams prosecuting consumer protection claims against Volkswagen, Audi, and Porsche in relation to the "Dieselgate" scandal, and against General Motors in relation to its allegedly faulty ignition switches.

Mr. McGee also represents numerous relators in confidential whistleblower actions under the federal and various state False Claims Acts, pursuing misconduct in diverse fields including medical and mental health, residential mortgage lending, retail, and finance, as well as the whistleblower programs managed by the Securities & Exchange Commission and Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

Representative actions in which Mr. McGee played a principal role include:

- *State of Mississippi ex rel. Jim Hood, Attorney General v. GlaxoSmithKline LLC* (Miss. Ch.), a consumer protection action on behalf of Mississippi against pharmaceutical company GSK for allegedly unfair and deceptive marketing practices, resulting in a \$25 million recovery.
- *In re Merck & Co., Inc. Vytarin/Zetia Securities Litigation* (D.N.J.), a major securities fraud action against pharmaceutical industry titan Merck & Co., Inc. that settled for \$215 million, jointly prosecuted with a related action, *In re Schering-Plough Corp. ENHANCE Securities Litigation* (D.N.J.), resulting in a \$688 million total recovery—together, the largest securities class action recovery against a pharmaceutical company at the time, and among the top securities settlements with any issuer.
- *In re JP Morgan Chase & Co. Securities Litigation* (S.D.N.Y.), a securities fraud action against investment bank JP Morgan and its leadership arising out of the “London Whale” scandal, resulting in a \$150 million settlement.
- *Champs Sports Bar & Grill Co. v. Mercury Payment Systems, LLC, et al.* (N.D. Ga.), a class action on behalf of small merchants against card processing companies Mercury Payment Systems and Global Payments Direct, which resulted in a settlement worth over \$70 million.
- *In re MyFord Touch Consumer Litigation* (N.D. Cal.), a consumer class action on behalf of owners of Ford vehicles equipped with allegedly defective infotainment units, which resulted in monetary and other relief valued at over \$33 million.
- *T.S. Kao, Inc. v. North American Bancard, LLC, et al.* (N.D. Ga.), a class action on behalf of small merchants against card processing companies North American Bancard and Global Payments Direct, which resulted in a settlement worth \$15 million.
- *Des Roches, et al. v. Blue Shield of California, Inc., et al.* (N.D. Cal.), an ERISA class action brought by three parents of minors denied coverage for mental health and/or substance use disorder treatment by Blue Shield of California and its mental health services administrator, Human Affairs International of California (a subsidiary of Magellan Health, Inc.), based on allegedly faulty criteria, which resulted in the defendants’ inability to resume use of the challenged criteria and other significant injunctive relief, as well as a \$7 million fund for payment of allegedly improperly denied claims.
- *In re New Oriental Education & Technology Group Securities Litigation* (S.D.N.Y.), a securities fraud action against China-based New Oriental Education & Technology Group relating to alleged accounting manipulations, which settled for \$4.5 million.
- *In re Miller Energy Resources, Inc. Securities Litigation* (E.D. Tenn.), a securities fraud action against oil and gas firm Miller Energy regarding alleged accounting manipulations, which settled for approximately \$3 million.
- *In re Volkswagen “Clean Diesel” Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation* (N.D. Cal.), a consumer class action against Volkswagen, Audi, Porsche, and Robert Bosch LLC, arising out of the “Dieselgate” scandal, which resulted in an unprecedented vehicle buyback program and other relief valued at approximately \$15 billion.
- *British Coal Staff Superannuation Scheme, et al. v. American International Group, Inc.* (S.D.N.Y.), a securities fraud action brought by a number of public pension and retirement funds and other institutional investors against AIG in relation to its alleged concealment of toxic assets during the 2008 financial crisis, which resulted in a substantial investor recovery.

- *Stichting Pensioenfonds ABP, et al. v. Merck & Co., Inc., et al.* (D.N.J.), a securities fraud action brought by a number of public pension and retirement funds and other institutional investors against Merck & Co., Inc., and its former leadership, in relation to the company's allegedly false statements concerning Vioxx, which resulted in a substantial investor recovery.

Mr. McGee earned a postgraduate research degree, with honors, in the history and philosophy of law from the University of Edinburgh. In 2009, he received his J.D., *cum laude*, from Villanova University, where he was a Dean's Merit scholar. In 2005, he received a B.A. in philosophy as well as media technologies from the University of Scranton.

Gordon Z. Novod

Gordon Novod is a director at Grant & Eisenhofer, focusing his practice on corporate restructuring and creditors' rights. He has seventeen years of experience representing *ad hoc* and official committees, distressed investors, lenders, litigation trustees, indenture trustees, trade creditors, and other parties in some of the most complex landmark restructurings and in litigation matters.

Mr. Novod's industry experience spans the automotive, chemical, construction, energy, entertainment, gaming, manufacturing, media, mining, and retail sectors. He has negotiated, drafted, and litigated all aspects of Chapter 11 plans of reorganization, valuation, and plan confirmation proceedings, contested debtor-in-possession financing and cash collateral use, the pursuit of fraudulent conveyance actions, and other matters involving bankruptcy-related and distressed litigation. He also has extensive experience reviewing, advising clients on, and litigating issues related to corporate debt securities in default and distressed situations, including exchange transactions, redemptions and the Trust Indenture Act.

Mr. Novod prides himself on providing high quality advocacy to clients, keeping their business objectives in mind, thereby enabling him to build lasting relationships. He is also able to grasp complex legal and business issues in order to craft and implement innovative, yet practical solutions to maximize value for clients.

On numerous occasions, Mr. Novod has been acknowledged for his work as a restructuring attorney. In 2011, Law360 called him one of the "Rising Stars" in restructuring and "one of the five bankruptcy attorneys under 40 to watch." He was also named a finalist in the M&A Advisor's "40 under 40." The following year, he was recognized as a "Winner of the 2012 40 Under 40 East M&A Advisor Recognition Awards" and New York *Super Lawyers* – Bankruptcy, "Rising Stars." From 2013 to 2019, he was selected to New York Metro *Super Lawyers* in Bankruptcy. In addition, he has served on the New York City Bar Association's Committee on Bankruptcy and Corporate Reorganization.

Prior to joining G&E, Mr. Novod was a partner in the bankruptcy & corporate restructuring group at Brown Rudnick in New York. He also formerly practiced in the corporate restructuring and bankruptcy group at Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP.

Mr. Novod's prominent engagements include:

- The Appvion Liquidating Trust
- Caesars Entertainment Operating Company, Inc. (unsecured noteholder and proposed class representative)
- The Synergy Pharmaceuticals Litigation Trust
- CoBank, ACB (ad hoc noteholder committee)
- AgriBank, FCB (unsecured noteholders)
- The Refco Litigation Trust
- Exco Resources, Inc. (secured lender)
- ShengdaTech, Inc. (ad hoc noteholder committee)
- Chesapeake Energy Corp. (unsecured noteholders and proposed class representatives)
- Cliffs Natural Resources (unsecured noteholders and proposed class representatives)
- Vanguard Natural Resources (unsecured noteholders and proposed class representatives)
- Alpha Natural Resources, Inc. (state court litigant)
- CJ Holding, Co. (state court litigant)
- SunEdison, Inc. (state court litigant)
- Erin Energy Corp. (state court litigant and special counsel to a Chapter 7 trustee)
- Tribune Company (indenture trustee and member of the creditors' committee)
- Central European Distribution Corporation (ad hoc committee of convertible noteholders)
- Lyondell Chemical Company (creditors' committee)
- Herbst Gaming, Inc. (creditors' committee)
- Lehman Brothers (ad hoc consortium of claimholders of Lehman Brothers Special Financing, Inc.)
- Green Valley Ranch Gaming, LLC (ad hoc committee of second lien lenders)
- Palm Harbor Homes, Inc. (indenture trustee and member of the creditors' committee)
- Equisearch Services, Inc. (trade creditor)
- General Motors Corporation (n/k/a Motors Liquidation Company) (creditors' committee)
- Charter Communications, Inc. (ad hoc first lien lenders)
- Bridgeport Holdings, Inc. (Micro Warehouse, Inc.) (debtors)
- Midway Games, Inc. (secured lender)
- Bethlehem Steel Corp. (creditors' committee)
- WCI Steel, Inc. (ad hoc noteholders' committee and indenture trustee)
- Delphi Corp. (trade creditor and member of the creditors' committee)
- Grace Industries, Inc. (creditors' committee)
- Wave Wireless Corp. (secured lender)
- Diomed, Inc. (licensor and chairman of the creditors' committee)
- TransCare Corp. (creditors' committee)

- Buffets Holdings, Inc. (ad hoc noteholders' committee)
- ASARCO LLC (majority noteholders)
- WestPoint Stevens, Inc. (second lien agent)

Mr. Novod has been a featured panelist and/or moderator on topics involving distressed situations, indenture litigation, indenture analysis, and fraudulent conveyance litigation, including:

- Moderator, "Director Duties in Restructurings," Institutional Investor Educational Foundation – Bankruptcy Litigation Roundtable (November 30, 2018)
- Moderator, "Current Issues in Bankruptcy & Antitrust," Institutional Investor Educational Foundation – 17us Global Shareholder Activism Conference (November 30 - December 1, 2017)
- Speaker, "Out-of-Court Restructuring and the Trust Indenture Act," Institutional Investor Legal Forum Fall 2016 Roundtable (October 28, 2016)
- Moderator, "E&P Restructurings - A Landscape Unlike Traditional Restructurings," Institutional Investor Educational Foundation - Bankruptcy Litigation Roundtable (October 6, 2016)
- Moderator, "Fraudulent Conveyance Actions, the Trust Indenture Act and No Action Clauses - New Rights for Bondholders?" Institutional Investor Educational Foundation - Bankruptcy Litigation Roundtable (October 21, 2015)

Mr. Novod received his J.D. from the Benjamin N. Cardozo School of Law at Yeshiva University, and his B.A. from Emory University.

Lisa B. Weinstein

Lisa Weinstein is a director at Grant & Eisenhofer and leads the firm's birth injury litigation division. Her practice primarily focuses on representing women and children in birth injury and birth trauma litigation.

Prior to joining G&E, Ms. Weinstein founded The Weinstein Law Group, where she represented children who were victims of medical malpractice and birth injuries. In her practice as a plaintiffs' trial lawyer, Ms. Weinstein has successfully litigated personal injury, medical malpractice and birth injury matters resulting in over \$300 million in settlements and verdicts. Representative of Ms. Weinstein's work is a \$12.5 million settlement in which her client's child suffered brain damage due to lack of oxygen during the labor and delivery process, and over 20 other seven-figure settlements.

Ms. Weinstein was a speaker at the 2015 New Jersey Association for Justice seminar covering "When Medical Malpractice and Mass Tort Overlap," and at the 2016 North American Brain Injury Society's annual conference, speaking about "Representing Children with Acquired TBI." In July 2018, Ms. Weinstein spoke at the American Association for Justice 2018 Annual Convention covering "The Initial Intake and Investigation of Birth Injury Cases - An Approach to Managing Risk," and presented at the American Conference Institute Obstetric Malpractice

Claims forum in June 2018 speaking on “Induced Labor Malpractice: Exploring Pitocin Complications and Injuries.”

In 2018, Ms. Weinstein was recognized as one of Law360’s Personal Injury & Medical Malpractice Rising Stars. Also in 2018, Ms. Weinstein was selected to receive the Lifetime Achievement award by America’s Top 100 Attorneys®. In 2020, Ms. Weinstein was selected for inclusion to the Illinois *Super Lawyers* list, and for eight years prior, she was selected to Illinois *Super Lawyers*’ list of Rising Stars. She has also been honored by The National Trial Lawyers in the “Top 40 Under 40” for the past seven years. She is a member of the Million Dollar Advocates Forum as well as the Multi-Million Dollar Advocates Forum, recognized for her work in obtaining several notable settlements and verdicts. Additionally, she is the co-chair of the American Association for Justice Birth Trauma Litigation Group. She is also an Arbitrator for the Circuit Court of Cook County and is a Board Member of the Illinois Trial Lawyers Association.

Ms. Weinstein authored “Understanding Newborn Strokes,” published in the May 2017 issue of *Trial* magazine.

Ms. Weinstein earned an undergraduate degree from the University of Michigan and graduated *cum laude* from DePaul University College of Law.

Cynthia A. Calder

Cynthia Calder is of counsel at Grant & Eisenhofer. She concentrates her practice in the areas of corporate governance and securities litigation. She has represented shareholders in such seminal cases in the Delaware Court of Chancery as *UniSuper Ltd. v. News Corp.*, vindicating the shareholders’ right to vote; *Carmody v. Toll Brothers*, finding the dead-hand poison pill defensive measure was illegal under Delaware law, *Jackson National Life Insurance Co. v. Kennedy*, breaking new ground in the interpretation of fiduciary duties owed to preferred shareholders; *Haft v. Dart Group Corp.*, resolving a contest for control of a significant public corporation; and *Paramount Communications Inc. v. QVC Network*, obtaining an injunction preventing the closing of a merger to force the board of directors to appropriately consider a competing bid for the corporation. More recently, Ms. Calder prosecuted a derivative suit on behalf of American International Group, Inc. shareholders against the company’s former CEO, Maurice Greenberg, and other former AIG executives. The action was concluded for a settlement of \$115 million – one of the largest such settlements in the history of the Delaware Court of Chancery. Ms. Calder was also the Court-appointed representative on the shareholder counsel’s committee in the *UnitedHealth Group* derivative litigation, which was settled for more than \$900 million – the largest known derivative settlement in any court system. Ms. Calder also prosecuted a shareholder class action, *In re ACS Shareholder Litigation*, which resulted in one of the largest class recoveries in the history of the Court of Chancery.

Ms. Calder has co-authored numerous articles on corporate governance and securities litigation, including “Options Backdating from the Shareholders’ Perspective” *Wall Street Lawyer*, Vol. 11, No. 3; “Securities Litigation Against Third Parties: Pre-Central Bank Aiders and Abettors Become Targeted Primary Defendants” *Securities Reform Act Litigation Reporter*, Vol. 16, No. 2; and “Pleading Scienter After Enron: Has the World Really Changed?” *Securities Regulation & Law*, Vol. 35, No. 45.

Ms. Calder graduated *cum laude* from the University of Delaware in 1987 and graduated from the Villanova University School of Law in 1991. Upon graduating from law school, Ms. Calder served as a Judicial Law Clerk in the Delaware Court of Chancery to the Honorable Maurice A. Hartnett, III. Prior to joining Grant & Eisenhofer, Ms. Calder was an associate at Blank, Rome, Comisky & McCauley.

John C. Kairis

John Kairis is of counsel at Grant & Eisenhofer, where he represents institutional investors in class action litigation, individual “opt-out” securities litigation, and derivative, corporate governance, and appraisal litigation in the Delaware Chancery Court and other courts throughout the country. He has been a leader of G&E teams that have achieved some of the largest recoveries in securities class action history, and played major roles in the *Tyco*, *Parmalat*, *Marsh & McLennan*, *Hollinger International* and *Dollar General* securities class actions, and opt-out actions in *AOL Time Warner* and *Telxon Corporation*.

Among his Delaware Chancery Court litigation experience is a landmark case against HealthSouth, involving a books and records trial under Section 220 of the Delaware General Corporations Law, to obtain certain documents that the corporation refused to produce, which led to a settlement implementing corporate governance improvements, such as HealthSouth’s agreement to replace its conflicted directors with independent directors approved by a committee which included the institutional investor plaintiff; and a settlement of litigation against Oracle Corporation, Larry Ellison and the other members of Oracle’s board, whereby plaintiffs alleged that Ellison’s control over Oracle and Pillar Data Systems led to an unfair process resulting in Oracle’s agreement to pay a grossly excessive and unfair price for Pillar in the form of a novel “earn out.” The settlement provided a monetary benefit of approximately \$440 million resulting from a required reduction in the purchase price for Pillar. More recently, Mr. Kairis represented the class of shareholders of Starz against cable mogul John Malone and other Starz directors alleging their breaches of fiduciary duty in negotiating and approving the sale of Starz to Lions Gate Entertainment Corp. for an unfair price. That case resolved with a \$92.5 million cash payment to the shareholder class.

Mr. Kairis has also been instrumental in prosecuting consumer class actions involving unfair competition and false marketing claims against various companies for misrepresentations relating to cosmetics and against both Johnson & Johnson and Bausch & Lomb for misrepresentations relating to contact lenses and solutions. He has represented the lead plaintiffs and the class in a securities fraud suit against Merck & Co. and certain of its officers and directors relating to the defendants’ alleged suppression of test results of Merck’s cholesterol medication Vytarin.

Mr. Kairis also represents the petitioners in several appraisal actions and the lead plaintiffs in various breach of fiduciary duty cases pending in the Delaware Chancery Court.

Mr. Kairis has authored articles including “Shareholder Proposals For Reimbursement Of Expenses Incurred In Proxy Contests: Recent Guidance from The Delaware Supreme Court,” *PLI*, What All Business Lawyers Must Know About Delaware Law Developments 2009 (New York, NY May 21, 2009) (co-authored with Stuart Grant); “Challenging Misrepresentations in Mergers: You May Have More Time Than You Think,” *Andrews Litigation Reporter*, Vol. 12, Issue 3, June 14, 2006; “Disgorgement Of Compensation Paid To Directors During The Time

They Were Grossly Negligent: An Available But Seldom Used Remedy,” *Delaware Law Review*, Vol. 13, #1, 2011; and was the principle writer of an *amicus* brief to the United States Supreme Court on behalf of various public pension funds in the *Merck* case involving the standard for finding that a plaintiff is on “inquiry notice” of potential claims such that the limitations period for pleading securities fraud has commenced.

Mr. Kairis has served on the boards of several nonprofit organizations, including the West-End Neighborhood House, Inc., the Cornerstone West Development Corporation, and the board of the Westover Hills Civic Association. He has also served on the Delaware Corporation Law Committee, where he evaluated proposals to amend the Delaware General Corporation Law.

Mr. Kairis is a 1984 graduate of the University of Notre Dame and a 1987 graduate of the Ohio State University Moritz College of Law, where he was Articles Editor of the *Ohio State Law Journal* and recipient of the American Jurisprudence and John E. Fallon Memorial Awards for scholastic excellence. He is a member of the Delaware and American Bar Associations and the Delaware Trial Lawyers Association.

Nadia Klein

Nadia Klein is of counsel at Grant & Eisenhofer. Her practice focuses on representing investors and other plaintiffs in high-stakes commercial, complex financial products and securities litigation in state and federal court, as well as claimants in U.S. domestic and international arbitration. Based in London, England, she works with G&E’s institutional investor clients in the U.K. and Europe.

Prior to joining Grant & Eisenhofer, Ms. Klein was of counsel at a U.S. litigation boutique. Prior to that, she was a senior associate at a leading New York litigation firm, where she spent almost seven years representing various plaintiffs in multiple residential mortgage-backed securities actions together seeking more than \$6 billion.

Ms. Klein received her B.A. from Cornell University in 2003 and her J.D. from Fordham University School of Law in 2011. She also attended the London School of Economics & Political Science and the International Academy for Arbitration Law in Paris, France.

Richard S. Schiffrin

Richard S. Schiffrin is of counsel at Grant & Eisenhofer. He has represented institutional investors and consumers in securities and consumer class actions worldwide. In 2008, Mr. Schiffrin retired as a founding partner of Schiffrin Barroway Topaz & Kessler, LLP.

Mr. Schiffrin has been recognized for his expertise in many prominent cases, including *In re Tyco International Ltd. Securities Litigation*, the most complex securities class action in history, which resulted in a record \$3.2 billion settlement. The \$2.975 billion payment by Tyco represents the single largest securities class action recovery from a single corporate defendant in history, while the \$225 million settlement with PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC) represents the largest payment PwC has ever paid to resolve a securities class action and is the second-largest auditor settlement in securities class action history; *In re AremisSoft Corp. Securities Litigation*, a complex case involving litigation in four countries, resulting in a \$250 million settlement

providing shareholders with a majority of the equity in the reorganized company after embezzlement by former officers; *In re Tenet Healthcare Corp.*, resulting in a \$216.5 million settlement and which led to several important corporate governance improvements; *Henry v. Sears, et al.*, one of the largest consumer class actions in history which resulted in a \$156 million settlement distributed without the filing of a single proof of claim form by any class member; *Wanstrath v. Doctor R. Crants, et al.*, a derivative action filed against the officers and directors of Prison Realty Trust, Inc., challenging the transfer of assets to a private entity owned by company insiders, resulting in corporate governance reform in addition to the issuance of over 46 million shares to class members; *Jordan v. State Farm Insurance Company*, resulting in a \$225 million settlement and other monetary benefits for current and former State Farm policy-holders; and *In re Sotheby's Holdings, Inc. Derivative Litigation*, resulting in a multi-million dollar settlement and significant governance changes.

Mr. Schiffrin is an internationally renowned speaker and lectures frequently on corporate governance and securities litigation. His lectures include: the MultiPensions Conference in Amsterdam, Netherlands; the Public Funds Symposium in Washington, D.C.; the European Pension

Symposium in Florence, Italy; and the Pennsylvania Public Employees Retirement Summit (PAPERS) in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania. Mr. Schiffrin has also taught legal writing and appellate advocacy at John Marshall Law School and served as a faculty member at legal seminars, including the Annual Institute on Securities Regulation, NERA: Finance, Law & Economics - Securities Litigation Seminar, the Tulane Corporate Law Institute, and the CityBar Center for CLE (NYC): Ethical Issues in the Practice of Securities Law.

Mr. Schiffrin is a graduate of DePaul Law School and received a Master's degree in Political Science from the University of Chicago. After protecting the civil rights of clients for seven years as an Assistant Public Defender with the Office of the Public Defender of Cook County, where he tried hundreds of cases, Mr. Schiffrin founded Schiffrin & Craig, Ltd., representing consumers and individual investors in actions brought against public companies. He is licensed to practice law in Pennsylvania and Illinois and has been admitted to practice before numerous United States District Courts.

Edward J. Aucoin

Edward Aucoin is senior counsel at Grant & Eisenhofer, where his primary area of practice is representing families and children in birth injury and birth trauma litigation. Prior to joining G&E, Mr. Aucoin worked at several medical negligence defense firms in the Chicago area, focusing on medical malpractice and professional liability as well as commercial litigation. He also was a senior trial attorney at a national insurance company.

Mr. Aucoin has successfully litigated hundreds of cases and has served as first and second chair trial attorney. He has handled every aspect of medical negligence cases, from pleadings and discovery to experts and trial.

Mr. Aucoin received his J.D. from Loyola University New Orleans School of Law and his B.A. in Broadcast Journalism and Political Science from Loyola University of New Orleans.

Karyn L. Bass Ehler

Karyn Bass Ehler is senior counsel at Grant & Eisenhofer, where she leads the Civil Rights Practice Group. Prior to joining G&E, Ms. Bass Ehler was the Chief of the Civil Rights Bureau for the Illinois Attorney General where she oversaw the department that investigates and litigates cases under both state and federal law involving patterns and practices of discrimination in Illinois. While working for the Illinois Attorney General, Ms. Bass Ehler served as one of the lead counsel in the *State of Illinois v. City of Chicago* (N.D. Ill.) litigation and negotiation, which resulted in a historic consent decree addressing comprehensive and systemic reform of the Chicago Police Department. In addition, Ms. Bass Ehler successfully led the legislative initiatives on campus sexual assault in 2015 and the effort to expand Illinois' hate crimes law in 2017. Ms. Bass Ehler also was previously a partner at a Chicago-area law firm focusing her practice on civil rights litigation.

Ms. Bass Ehler clerked for Judge William J. Bauer on the United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit and Judge Matthew F. Kennelly on the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois.

Ms. Bass Ehler is a Leadership Greater Chicago Fellow, Co-Founder and Board Member for the Center on Public Interest Law for the DePaul University College of Law, and the Vice President of the Board of Directors for the Jewish Council on Urban Affairs. She also serves on the Quality Jobs Council for Women Employed. In 2020, Ms. Bass Ehler was selected for inclusion on the 2020 Illinois Super Lawyers list.

Ms. Bass Ehler co-authored "Stepping into the Shoes of the Department of Justice: The Unusual, Necessary, and Hopeful Path the Illinois Attorney General Took to Require Police Reform in Chicago" published in the *Northwestern Journal of Law & Social Policy*.

Ms. Bass Ehler earned her J.D. from DePaul University College of Law, where she was the Editor-in-Chief for the *DePaul Law Review* and a Dean's Merit Scholar and earned her B.A., with honors, from Northwestern University.

Samantha R. Mertz

Samantha Mertz is senior counsel at Grant & Eisenhofer, where her primary area of practice is complex pharmaceutical and medical device litigation. She handles all phases of mass tort and personal injury litigation from commencement through trial. Ms. Mertz is actively in litigation against major pharmaceutical companies and medical device manufacturers and serves on the Law and Briefing Committee and Discovery Committee for the Plaintiffs' Steering Committee in the Essure product cases coordinated proceeding in California.

Ms. Mertz earned her J.D. from Temple University Beasley School of Law in 2010. Upon graduation, Ms. Mertz served as the mass tort law clerk for the Complex Litigation Center under the Honorable Judge Arnold New and the Honorable Judge Sandra Mazer Moss for the First Judicial District of Pennsylvania from 2010-2013. Prior to joining Grant & Eisenhofer, Ms. Mertz worked at a Philadelphia law firm as a pharmaceutical mass tort litigation attorney, and

was selected for inclusion in the Pennsylvania *Super Lawyers* “Rising Star” list for 2014 and 2015.

Previously, Ms. Mertz volunteered for the Philadelphia District Attorney’s Family Violence and Sexual Assault unit where she worked closely with survivors of sexual assault and helped to prosecute offenders of intrafamilial violence, sexual assaults, crimes against children, and violations of Pennsylvania's sex offender registration law. Ms. Mertz also volunteered with the HIAS Refugee Resettlement Program, working with refugees who have been forced to flee from persecution to help them rebuild their lives in the United States.

Ms. Mertz has focused much of her product liability practice on manufacturers of pharmaceuticals and medical devices that have harmed women and children, including Risperdal, Zofran, Transvaginal Mesh, and Essure. Throughout her career, Ms. Mertz has advocated for individuals at their most vulnerable, helping to bring them justice and accountability.

Ms. Mertz is a member of and serves on the Executive Committees for the Temple American Inn of Court and the Louis D. Brandeis Law Society.

Caitlin M. Moyna

Caitlin Moyna is senior counsel at Grant & Eisenhofer with over 15 years of experience in US and foreign securities fraud class action and opt-out litigation, shareholder derivative actions, merger litigation, and international arbitration. Ms. Moyna is also Co-Director of the Grant & Eisenhofer ESG Institute.

Currently, Ms. Moyna represents lead plaintiffs in securities actions against General Electric, Santander Consumer USA, Camping World and Weight Watchers. She previously helped achieve significant recoveries against Career Education Corp. and Miller Energy Resources, Inc., and prior to her time at G&E, against The Blackstone Group. She has also represented investors who opt out of securities class actions, including those against Valeant, Merck and Citigroup.

Ms. Moyna’s securities fraud experience extends beyond US borders, where she represents investors seeking recovery from Volkswagen and Porsche (Germany), Steinhoff (the Netherlands), BHP Biliton (Australia) and Danske Bank (Denmark).

Ms. Moyna also has international arbitration experience, including representing hundreds of Greek investors against the Republic of Cyprus before the International Centre for Settlement of Investment Disputes, and investors proceeding against Petrobras and Brazil before the Market Arbitration Chamber.

Finally, Ms. Moyna represents investors challenging an early redemption of bonds issued by AgriBank and CoBank, and she has previously represented investors challenging mergers, including in an action against Regency Energy Partners pending in the Delaware Court of Chancery.

With Managing Director Jay W. Eisenhofer, Ms. Moyna co-authored two articles concerning alternative entities: “What is the State of Delaware Law as It Relates to the Scope of Fiduciary

Duties Owed to Investors in So-Called Alternative Entities?”, *Bloomberg BNA*, Corporate Accountability Report (Dec. 5, 12, and 19, 2014); and “What Is the Current State of Delaware Law on the Scope of Fiduciary Duties Owed by Hedge Fund Managers to Their Funds and Investors?”, *The Hedge Fund Law Report*, Vol. 6, Nos. 26 and 27 (Sept. 19 and 26, 2013).

Prior to joining G&E, Ms. Moyna was associated with Cravath, Swaine & Moore and Ropes and Gray, where she represented corporations in securities fraud class actions and government investigations, as well as a boutique litigation firm specializing in investor representation.

Ms. Moyna is a *cum laude graduate* of Northwestern University School of Law, where she was elected to the Order of the Coif and served on the *Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology*. Ms. Moyna received her A.B. from Dartmouth College.

Rebecca A. Musarra

Rebecca Musarra is senior counsel at Grant & Eisenhofer. Ms. Musarra’s practice includes securities, corporate governance, and consumer protection litigation, and other complex class actions.

Ms. Musarra has helped achieve significant shareholder recoveries in a variety of cases. She has participated in a number of appraisal actions in the Delaware Chancery Court, including as a member of the trial team in *In re Appraisal of Dell Inc.* Ms. Musarra has considerable experience pursuing successful books-and-records investigations on behalf of stockholders pursuant to 8 *Del C.* § 220. As a member of the Co-Lead Counsel team representing a class of insurance beneficiaries, Ms. Musarra litigated claims against health insurers in federal court for ERISA violations relating to coverage for treatments for mental health and substance use disorders, which resulted in defendants’ inability to resume use of challenged medical necessity criteria and other significant injunctive relief, as well as a \$7 million fund for payment of allegedly improperly denied claims. She plays a principal role in pursuing a derivative breach of fiduciary duty case against entities and individuals associated with Cantor Fitzgerald, L.P. and assists the international liaison committee in a global consumer class action against Apple, Inc., arising out of its alleged throttling of iPhone/iPad device performance in 2017. As part of her *pro bono* activities, Ms. Musarra represents juvenile immigrants in state court and immigration court, and before federal agencies.

Prior to joining G&E, Ms. Musarra worked as an appellate law clerk to the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of the Virgin Islands in St. Thomas, Virgin Islands.

Ms. Musarra received her J.D. degree from American University Washington College of Law in 2009, where she served as a member of the *American University Law Review*, was elected to Order of the Coif, and graduated *summa cum laude*. She obtained a B.A. in international relations from the College of William and Mary in 2003. Between college and law school, Ms. Musarra served as a Peace Corps Volunteer in Chad, Central Africa.

Kelly L. Tucker

Kelly Tucker is senior counsel at Grant & Eisenhofer, where she focuses her practice on securities litigation, corporate governance, and appraisal rights. Prior to joining G&E, Ms.

Tucker worked at a Philadelphia area law firm practicing antitrust, consumer protection, and products liability litigation.

Ms. Tucker received her J.D. from Fordham University School of Law in 2010, where she was the Executive Notes and Articles Editor of the *Fordham Journal of Corporate and Financial Law* and a member of the Executive Board of Fordham Law Moot Court. She received her B.A. in international politics from American University in 2003.

Carrie L. Vine

Carrie Vine is senior counsel at Grant & Eisenhofer, where her primary area of practice is representing families and children in birth injury and birth trauma litigation.

Prior to joining G&E, Ms. Vine worked at a well-known medical negligence firm. She has successfully litigated over a hundred cases from inception through conclusion, including both settlement and trial. A recent representative case resulted in a \$12.5 million settlement for a child who suffered permanent brain damage after experiencing a lack of oxygen to the brain during labor and delivery.

Ms. Vine's genetic training and scientific background provide insight into the medical nuances that arise in medical malpractice cases. She has been identified as an *Emerging Lawyer* by *Leading Lawyers*, a designation granted to the top two percent of lawyers in the early stage of their career. She is a member of the Illinois State Bar Association, the Women's Bar Association of Illinois, and the Wisconsin State Bar.

Ms. Vine graduated from Northern Illinois University College of Law *magna cum laude*, where she was also the Notes & Comments Editor for the *Northern Illinois Law Review*. She earned her Ph.D. from Pennsylvania State University where she studied human genetics and human variation. She earned her B.S. from the University of Notre Dame studying biological sciences.

Paige J. Alderson

Paige Alderson is an associate at Grant & Eisenhofer where she focuses her practice on complex pharmaceutical and medical device litigation. Prior to joining Grant & Eisenhofer, Ms. Alderson was an associate at a regional litigation firm where she practiced toxic tort and products liability litigation. Before entering private practice, Ms. Alderson served as a judicial law clerk to The Honorable William C. Carpenter, Jr. of the Complex Commercial Litigation Division in the Superior Court of Delaware.

Ms. Alderson earned her J.D. from Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law in 2014 and her B.S. from the University of Delaware in 2009. During her time at Villanova, Ms. Alderson participated in the Health Law Clinic assisting clients with Social Security, Medicare/Medicaid, and insurance matters.

Michael D. Bell

Michael Bell is an associate at Grant & Eisenhofer and focuses his practice on corporate governance, securities and consumer fraud litigation. Prior to joining Grant & Eisenhofer, Mr.

Bell was an associate at a New York firm defending class-action consumer fraud claims. Mr. Bell was previously an associate at the New York office of an international law firm where he represented clients in securities, bankruptcy, M&A, and other commercial litigation matters.

Mr. Bell earned his J.D., *magna cum laude*, from Brooklyn Law School in 2007 where he was a Notes and Comments Editor for the *Brooklyn Law Review* and a member of the 2006 National Team of the Moot Court Honor Society. He earned his M.A. in English Literature from Columbia University in 2001 and his B.A., *magna cum laude*, also in English Literature, from Columbia College in 1999.

Charles C. Bletsas

Charles Bletsas is an associate at Grant & Eisenhofer, where his primary area of practice is representing families and children in birth injury and birth trauma litigation.

Prior to joining G&E, Mr. Bletsas was a partner at a Chicago firm focusing on medical malpractice defense and general civil litigation. With a record of trial success spanning over 20 years, Mr. Bletsas' entire career has been heavily focused on birth trauma cases, having litigated traumatic birth injury claims such as hypoxic ischemic injuries, brachial plexus injuries, and neonatal complications.

Mr. Bletsas is also skilled in attorney malpractice claims involving fiduciary issues, litigating complex financial fraud claims, commercial contracts, and construction negligence disputes.

Mr. Bletsas received his J.D., *cum laude*, from Wayne State University, where he served as a Senior Articles Editor of the *Wayne Law Review*. He received his B.A. in economics from the University of Michigan.

Simona L. Bonifacic

Simona Bonifacic is an associate at Grant & Eisenhofer, where her focus is on complex pharmaceutical and medical device litigation. Prior to joining Grant & Eisenhofer, Ms. Bonifacic worked as corporate counsel on commercial real estate and contracts.

Ms. Bonifacic received her J.D. from Syracuse University College of Law in 1998. She is also a 1998 *magna cum laude* graduate of Maxwell School of Citizenship and Public Affairs where she obtained her M.S. in international relations. She received a bachelor's degree in 1994 from East Stroudsburg University in political science and philosophy.

Kimberly M. Brancato

Kim Brancato is an associate at Grant & Eisenhofer, where her primary area of practice is representing families and children in birth injury and birth trauma litigation.

Prior to joining G&E, Ms. Brancato worked at a Chicago firm focusing on personal injury and medical malpractice cases. She has a winning trial record and has handled every aspect of complex negligence cases, from pleadings and expert discovery, to mediation and trial.

Ms. Brancato was selected for inclusion to *Super Lawyers*' list of Rising Stars from 2017-2019.

Ms. Brancato received her J.D. from DePaul University and her B.S. from Illinois State - University in Political Science and Philosophy.

Leanne P. Brown-Pasquarello

Leanne Brown-Pasquarello is an associate at Grant & Eisenhofer where she focuses on sovereign and public entity representation, primarily in matters to redress systemic environmental contamination. She currently represents several state Attorneys General and municipalities in environmental litigation. In that role, she is prosecuting claims against Monsanto Co. arising out of that company's production, marketing, and sale of toxic PCBs, which now contaminate natural resources and municipal storm water systems throughout the nation; and against 3M Co. and other manufacturers of toxic firefighting foam laced with toxic PFAS chemicals, which now contaminate groundwater, drinking water, and other public resources. Mrs. Brown-Pasquarello also has experience in securities class actions, shareholder derivative actions, antitrust actions, and appraisal rights.

During her time with Grant & Eisenhofer, she has worked on litigation teams whose efforts resulted in significant awards for their clients, including the following:

- *In re Pfizer, Inc. Securities Litigation*, class action securities litigation, wherein it was alleged that Pfizer misrepresented the cardiovascular safety of its multi-billion-dollar arthritis drugs, and resulted in a \$486 million recovery.
- *In re Merck & Co., Inc. Vytarin/Zetia Securities Litigation*, a major securities fraud action against pharmaceutical industry titan, Merck & Co., Inc., that settled for \$215 million.
- *In re MyFord Touch Consumer Litigation*, a consumer class action on behalf of owners of Ford vehicles equipped with allegedly defective infotainment units, which resulted in relief valued at over \$33 million.

Prior to joining Grant & Eisenhofer, Ms. Brown-Pasquarello worked at a Philadelphia law firm on mass tort and complex civil litigation matters. She received her law degree from Widener University School of Law, where she wrote on The Law Forum, and was a member of ATLA. She received her B.A. degree in Political Science from University of Delaware, where she was a member of *Phi Sigma Pi* National Honor Society, and *Pi Sigma Alpha* National Political Science Honor Society. She served as Vice President of a political organization on campus.

Alice Cho Lee

Alice Cho Lee is an associate at Grant & Eisenhofer, where she works on securities fraud class actions and international litigation and arbitration cases.

Ms. Cho Lee is part of G&E's litigation team that represents institutional investor plaintiffs in U.S. and international securities actions and investment arbitrations. Current cases include actions against:

- Danske Bank, in a securities litigation in Denmark based on Danske Bank's massive money-laundering scheme and subsequent cover-up
- Republic of Cyprus, in an international investment arbitration before the Wordlbank on behalf of almost one thousand Greek investors
- Petróleo Brasileiro ("Petrobras") , in an international securities litigation before Brazil's leading arbitration chamber
- Volkswagen and Porsche, in securities actions in Germany
- Banco Espírito Santo/Novo Banco, in several proceedings in Portugal
- Mitsubishi, in a securities litigation in Japan
- Postbank, in a securities action in Germany
- Steinhoff, in a securities damages action before the Amsterdam District Court and an Inquiry proceeding before the Netherlands' Enterprise Chamber
- BHP, in an Australian class action in which our class/group includes the class representative
- Toshiba, in a securities litigation in Japan

At G&E, Ms. Cho Lee served as a member of the co-lead counsel litigation team for several of the largest securities class actions in the United States including:

- Marsh & McLennan, a U.S. securities class action, settled for \$400M
- Merck (Vytorin), a U.S. securities class action that settled for \$215M
- JP Morgan Chase & Co., a U.S. securities class action that settled for \$150M

Ms. Cho Lee served on the board of the Korean American Lawyers Association of Greater New York (KALAGNY) for seven years and is an active member of the National Asian Pacific American Bar Association (NAPABA) and the Asian American Bar Association of New York (AABANY). During law school, Ms. Cho Lee interned as a law clerk for the Honorable Frederic Block, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of New York. She has also worked at the New York City Human Rights Commission and the Asian American Legal Defense and Education Fund.

Ms. Cho Lee graduated from Brooklyn Law School in 2004 and received a B.A. in English from the University at Albany.

Andrew N. Dodemaide

Andrew Dodemaide is an associate at Grant & Eisenhofer. Prior to joining G&E, Mr. Dodemaide worked at a law firm in Philadelphia where he practiced domestic and international securities litigation. Mr. Dodemaide also worked for a large complex litigation firm as an associate on the new matter development team.

Mr. Dodemaide received his B.A. from Rutgers University and earned his J.D. from Rutgers University School of Law, where he was the Editor-in-Chief of the *Rutgers Journal of Law and Public Policy*. While a law student, Mr. Dodemaide taught Constitutional Law at a high school in Camden, New Jersey through the Marshall Brennan Constitutional Literacy Project. Upon graduation, Mr. Dodemaide clerked for the Honorable Jack M. Sabatino at the New Jersey Superior Court, Appellate Division.

Kerry A. Dustin

Kerry Dustin is an associate at Grant & Eisenhofer, focusing on corporate securities, corporate governance, appraisal, antitrust, and consumer litigation.

Prior to joining Grant & Eisenhofer, Ms. Dustin focused her practice on intellectual property and patent and employment law. Ms. Dustin served as a law clerk for Onondaga County Resource Recovery Agency (OCRRA). She also did an internship at the Ontario County Attorney's Office where she was involved in drafting labor contracts and research.

Ms. Dustin is a Certified Mediator and holds a certificate in Conflict Management Strategies for the Workplace. Ms. Dustin received her law degree from Syracuse University College of Law where she was a member of the Community Law Development Clinic and Corporate Law Society. She received her B.S. in business administration with a marketing concentration from Le Moyne College in 2000.

Cheron D. Everett

Cheron Everett focuses on securities, antitrust, and complex pharmaceutical and medical device litigation as an associate at Grant & Eisenhofer. Ms. Everett is a 2007 graduate of the Widener University School of Law and a 2001 *magna cum laude* graduate from Temple University with a degree in journalism and public relations. She was a recipient of the Chadwick Memorial Scholarship and a Fred G. Dibona Moot Court participant.

Prior to joining Grant & Eisenhofer, Ms. Everett's focus was on pharmaceutical and securities litigation as well as workmen's compensation.

Tudor I. Farcas

Tudor Farcas is an associate at Grant & Eisenhofer where he focuses his practice on complex pharmaceutical and medical device litigation. Prior to joining Grant & Eisenhofer, Mr. Farcas was an associate at the Philadelphia office of a national defense litigation law firm defending general liability claims including mass tort, products liability, and personal injury. He also was a law clerk to the Honorable Mark I. Bernstein, assisting with complex proceedings in national mass tort cases regarding pharmaceutical products and medical devices.

Mr. Farcas earned his J.D. from Drexel University Thomas R. Kline School of Law in 2013, where he was a member of the Drexel Transactional Law Team. Mr. Farcas received his B.A. from Pennsylvania State University in 2008.

R. Alexander Gartman

Alexander Gartman is an associate at Grant & Eisenhofer where he concentrates on securities litigation, antitrust litigation, and appraisal matters. Representative of Mr. Gartman's casework is securities class action *In re Marsh & McLennan Securities Litigation* and antitrust action *Castro, et al. v. Sanofi Pasteur, Inc.*

Mr. Gartman received a B.B.A. in Finance in 1998 from The College of William and Mary, where he double majored in Economics. He graduated cum laude from Temple University School of Law in 2005.

Adam J. Gomez

Adam Gomez is an associate at Grant & Eisenhofer where he focuses on complex pharmaceutical, medical device litigation and environmental litigation. Prior to joining G&E, Mr. Gomez was an associate at a national defense litigation firm where he defended clients in catastrophic personal injury, products liability, professional liability, and civil rights litigation.

Mr. Gomez currently serves as Chair of the Insurance Committee representing residents and businesses harmed by the catastrophic gas explosions in Merrimack Valley of Massachusetts caused by the negligence of Columbia Gas and NiSource. He also serves as a Chair of the Discovery Committee in the *Gilead Tenofovir* Cases, California Judicial Council Coordinated Proceeding (JCCP) No. 5043, representing members of the HIV community injured by Gilead Sciences, Inc.'s negligent design of tenofovir-based antiretroviral medications. He is the Co-Chair of the American Association for Justice Tenofovir Litigation Group. Additionally, Mr. Gomez represents victims of the Paradise, California Camp Fire—the deadliest in the state's history—where plaintiffs allege that fires were sparked by aging, unsafe electrical infrastructure maintained by Pacific Gas & Electric.

Mr. Gomez earned his J.D. from Temple University James E. Beasley School of Law in 2013, where he was a Beasley Scholar and received awards for excellence in Constitutional Law and Outstanding Oral Advocacy in the Integrated Trial Advocacy Program. He received his B.A. in Government from Wesleyan University in 2010 where he served as Chair of the Student Judicial Board and President of Delta Kappa Epsilon.

Mr. Gomez is a member of the American Association for Justice, Hispanic Bar Association of Pennsylvania and Philadelphia Trial Lawyers Association. He was selected for inclusion in the 2018 list of “Rising Stars” in Pennsylvania *Super Lawyers*.

Lisa K. Grumbine

Lisa Grumbine is an associate at Grant & Eisenhofer, where she focuses her practice on consumer class action, appraisal rights and antitrust litigation. Ms. Grumbine also handles a wide range of securities and commercial litigation actions on behalf of institutional investors and consumers. Most recently, Ms. Grumbine was part of a team prosecuting state consumer claims against Volkswagen relating to its illegal “clean diesel” vehicles.

Prior to her legal career, Ms. Grumbine worked in the banking industry with a primary focus in ERISA and Defined Contribution Plan compliance and administration. Ms. Grumbine is a graduate of ABA National Employee Benefit Trust School.

Ms. Grumbine earned her J.D. from Temple University, Beasley School of Law in 1997 and her B.S. in Consumer Economics, *cum laude*, from University of Delaware in 1990.

Laina M. Herbert

Laina Herbert is an associate Grant & Eisenhofer focusing her practice on whistleblower/*qui tam* representation and corporate and commercial litigation. Ms. Herbert represents numerous relators in confidential whistleblower actions under the federal and various state False Claims Acts, pursuing misconduct in diverse fields including medical and mental healthcare, residential mortgage lending, defense contracting, retail and other industries. Prior to joining G&E, Ms. Herbert was senior counsel practicing complex litigation at a Delaware law firm. Ms. Herbert also has extensive experience representing corporations, their directors and stockholders in corporate and commercial litigation relating to fiduciary duties, mergers and acquisitions, corporate governance and other issues concerning Delaware law. Her experience also includes federal patent infringement and intellectual property litigation in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware.

Ms. Herbert is vice president of the board of directors of the Delaware 4-H Foundation and a member of the board of directors of the ACLU of Delaware. She is Content Editor of *The Journal of The Delaware State Bar Association*.

Ms. Herbert earned her J.D. *with honors* from the University of Maryland Francis King Carey School of Law in December 2004 where she served as an Associates Articles Editor of *The Business Lawyer*. She earned a B.S. in Biology, B.A. in Leadership Studies and minor in Women's Studies from the University of Richmond in 2000.

Chad B. Holtzman

Chad Holtzman is an associate at Grant & Eisenhofer, focusing his practice on recovering damages for businesses and consumers harmed by violations of the federal and state antitrust laws, including price-fixing and monopolization.

Currently, Chad is a member of leadership teams representing clients in high-profile antitrust cases in the pharmaceutical, financial services, and commodities industries, including: *In re Blue Cross Blue Shield Antitrust Litigation*, *In re London Silver Fixing, Ltd. Antitrust Litigation*, *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*, *In re Novartis and Par Antitrust Litigation (Exforge)*, *In re: Humira (Adalimumab) Antitrust Litigation*, and *In re: Lipitor Antitrust Litigation*, among others.

Prior to joining Grant & Eisenhofer, Mr. Holtzman worked as an associate at the Philadelphia office of a national Am Law 100 law firm where he defended corporate defendants in antitrust and other complex commercial litigation.

Mr. Holtzman is a member of the Committee to Support the Antitrust laws (COSAL), established to preserve and enhance the private enforcement of strong antitrust laws. He is a member of the American Antitrust Institute and the American Bar Association's Antitrust Division. Finally, Chad serves on the National Board for the Jewish National Fund Young Professionals Division as its Vice President. He is also a Board Member of the International Alliance for Child Literacy, a non-profit charity that empowers children by establishing libraries at orphanages.

Mr. Holtzman earned his J.D., *cum laude*, from Villanova University School of Law in 2009 where he was the Associate Editor for the *Villanova Environmental Law Journal*. Mr. Holtzman earned his B.S. in economics from Hamilton College in 2006.

Jonathan A. Ibarra

John Ibarra is an associate at Grant & Eisenhofer, where his primary area of practice is representing families and children in birth injury and birth trauma litigation. Prior to joining G&E, Mr. Ibarra worked at a Chicago law firm focusing on medical malpractice litigation, including obstetrics/gynecology and fetal demise, cardiology, neurology, radiology, general surgery, neurosurgery and internal/family medicine and trauma. He also previously worked at two other Chicago law firms practicing healthcare litigation and various types of other civil litigation.

Mr. Ibarra received his J.D. from University of Illinois in 2005 and his B.S. in business with an emphasis on legal studies from Indiana University in 2002. He is a member of the American Association for Justice, Illinois Trial Lawyers Association, and the Chicago Bar Association.

Lawrence P. Kempner

Lawrence Kempner is an associate at Grant & Eisenhofer, focusing on complex securities, regulatory and corporate governance cases. Prior to joining Grant & Eisenhofer, Mr. Kempner was engaged in private practice with a concentration in civil litigation.

Mr. Kempner graduated from Lehigh University in 1988 with a B.S. in marketing. He received his J.D. from the George Washington University National Law Center in 1991.

Edward M. Lilly

Edward Lilly focuses on intellectual property litigation, securities fraud and anti-trust class action litigation, Chancery litigation, and corporate governance matters as an associate at Grant & Eisenhofer. He has additional experience in consumer mass tort litigation, product liability litigation, and derivative class actions.

Mr. Lilly graduated in 1996 from Cornell Law School and served as an editor for the *LII Bulletin-NY* and *Cornell Journal of Law & Public Policy*. He received his M.S. in social psychology in 1993 from Purdue University and graduated *magna cum laude* from DePauw University with a B.A. in economics.

Mr. Lilly served as a clerk for the Honorable Thomas J. McAvoy of the U.S. District Court in Binghamton, New York.

Ken S. Massey

Ken Massey is an associate at Grant & Eisenhofer. Prior to joining G&E, Mr. Massey practiced consumer financial services, complex antitrust and commercial litigation at a leading financial services defense boutique and the Philadelphia office of a national law firm.

Mr. Massey is the immediate past president of the Asian Pacific American Bar Association of Pennsylvania and has previously served on the executive board of the Temple Law Alumni

Association. He has been selected three times by *Super Lawyers* as a Pennsylvania “Rising Star” and listed on the Pro Bono Roll of Honor for the First Judicial District of Pennsylvania.

Mr. Massey earned his J.D. from Temple University Beasley School of Law in 2004 and his B.A. in History from the University of Pennsylvania in 1999.

Julia R. McGrath

Julia McGrath is an associate at Grant & Eisenhofer, focusing her practice on antitrust litigation. Prior to joining G&E, Ms. McGrath was an associate at a Philadelphia-area law firm practicing antitrust class action litigation with a focus on cartels, commodities manipulation, benchmark price-fixing, and pharmaceutical pay-for-delay and price-fixing cases.

Prior to law school, Ms. McGrath had a successful career in government and politics. She worked on political campaigns at the local, state, and federal level. She’s advised top-tier congressional, gubernatorial, and U.S. Senate candidates in Pennsylvania and New Jersey, and served as the Finance Director for U.S. Senator Bob Casey. In 2013, she was appointed by President Obama to serve under the Mid-Atlantic Regional Administrator of the U.S. General Services Administration.

Ms. McGrath earned her J.D., *cum laude*, from Temple University Beasley School of Law, and her B.A. in History from Boston University.

Kevin M. Nadolny

Kevin Nadolny is an associate at Grant & Eisenhofer, focusing on securities litigation, antitrust matters, and consumer litigation.

Mr. Nadolny’s casework includes representing shareholders in such actions as: *In re Pfizer Inc. Securities Litigation* (\$486 million settlement); *In re News Corporation Shareholder Derivative Litigation* (\$139 million settlement); *In re Kinder Morgan Energy Partners, L.P. Derivative Litigation* (\$27.5 million settlement). He has also represented plaintiffs in antitrust matters such as: *In re Aggrenox Antitrust Litigation*; and *Alaska Electrical Pension Fund v. Bank of America* (concerning ISDA-fix price-fixing). Mr. Nadolny’s consumer litigation experience includes working as a member of the team prosecuting consumer protection claims against General Motors in relation to its allegedly faulty ignition switches.

He currently represents plaintiffs in *In re Blue Cross Blue Shield Antitrust Litigation* and *In re Generic Pharmaceuticals Pricing Antitrust Litigation*.

Mr. Nadolny is a 1998 graduate of the University of Minnesota. He received his J.D. and LL.M. (Transnational Law) from Temple University, Beasley School of Law.

Joseph P. Nearey

Joseph Nearey focuses on appraisal rights, complex securities, consumer, and antitrust litigation as an associate at Grant & Eisenhofer. He received his law degree in 2001 from Temple University School of Law, where he was a member of the Temple International and Comparative Law Journal. He attended the Temple University School of Law Semester in Japan and interned at a prominent Tokyo firm. He served as a summer intern for the Honorable James R. Cavanaugh of the Superior Court of Pennsylvania.

Mr. Nearey graduated *cum laude* from Hamilton College in 1997 with dual B.A.'s in English Literature and Government.

Jonathan D. Park

Jonathan Park is an associate at Grant & Eisenhofer, where he represents investors in complex litigation, including securities, stockholder derivative, and bondholder actions. In 2017, 2018, and 2019, Mr. Park was recognized by *Super Lawyers* as a "Rising Star" in the New York Metro area.

Mr. Park was a member of the teams that recovered \$150 million for stockholders in *In re JPMorgan Chase & Co. Securities Litigation* (S.D.N.Y.) in connection with the "London Whale" scandal, and that achieved substantial recoveries for opt-out plaintiffs in *In re Petrobras Securities Litigation* (S.D.N.Y.). He is currently representing investors in securities litigation against General Electric, Teva Pharmaceuticals, and Valeant Pharmaceuticals.

Mr. Park helped secure recovery on bondholder class claims against Caesars Entertainment, and is currently representing bondholders challenging the early redemption of bonds by CoBank and AgriBank. He also has experience advising on issues related to out-of-court restructuring of debt securities, including exchange transactions and redemptions, and bankruptcy-related and distressed litigation.

At the New York City Bar Association, Mr. Park serves on the Task Force on Puerto Rico and the New Lawyers Council, and he previously served on the International Human Rights Committee. He also serves on the board of his non-profit running club, the Dashing Whippets Running Team.

Mr. Park earned his J.D. in 2013 from Fordham University School of Law, where he served on the school's Moot Court Board as the Editor of the Jessup International Law Competition Team. During law school, he was a Crowley Scholar in International Human Rights, received the Archibald R. Murray Public Service Award, and interned with a refugee law project in Cairo, Egypt. Mr. Park received a B.A. in 2006 from Vassar College, where he majored in Africana Studies.

Minoti Patel

Minoti Patel is an associate at Grant & Eisenhofer where she focuses on securities litigation. With 17 years of legal experience, Ms. Patel practiced securities, pharmaceutical, and FCPA litigation prior to joining G&E. She also handled white collar, commercial litigation, employment, and intellectual property matters.

As an associate at a Washington, DC firm, Ms. Patel litigated a nationwide racial discrimination class action on behalf of African American managers, which settled for \$80 million plus injunctive relief.

Ms. Patel graduated from Harvard Law School in 2002 and received a B.A. from Duke University in 1998.

Leighanne E. Root

Leighanne Root is an associate at Grant & Eisenhofer, where her primary area of practice is representing families and children in birth injury and birth trauma litigation.

Prior to joining G&E, Ms. Root was an associate at a Chicago firm focusing on medical malpractice and complex litigation, where she was actively involved in numerous mediations and jury trials.

Ms. Root received her J.D. from Loyola University Chicago School of Law, where she received CALI awards for obtaining the highest grades in Legal Writing and Advocacy. She was also the recipient of the award for Best Advocate at the American Bar Association National Appellate Advocacy Competition. Ms. Root earned a B.A. in Classics with a minor in Philosophy from the University of Kentucky.

Raymond F. Schuenemann III

Raymond Schuenemann III is an associate at Grant & Eisenhofer.

Representative of Mr. Schuenemann's casework includes participation in securities class action *In re Pfizer Inc. Securities Litigation*, alleging Pfizer misrepresented the cardiovascular safety of its multi-billion-dollar arthritis drugs, resulting in a \$486 million settlement; and securities class action *In re Marsh & McLennan Consolidated Securities Litigation*, alleging that Marsh & McLennan and its officers, directors, auditors, and underwriters participated in a fraudulent scheme involving bid-rigging and secret agreements to steer business to certain insurance companies in exchange for kick-back commissions, resulting in a \$400 million settlement. Mr. Schuenemann was also involved in antitrust class action *In re Titanium Dioxide Antitrust Litigation*, where direct purchasers of Titanium Dioxide alleged that E.I. DuPont de Nemours and Company, Huntsman International and other defendants conspired to fix prices at which the chemical powder was sold in the United States, resulting in a series of settlements with defendants totaling \$163 million.

After graduating from law school, Mr. Schuenemann was an associate attorney at a central Pennsylvania law firm where he worked on matters related to employment, real estate, tax, and healthcare law. Prior to his legal career, Mr. Schuenemann was an investment accountant in the mutual fund sector where he provided accounting services for numerous bond and equity funds. Mr. Schuenemann was also employed as an internal auditor in both the finance and banking sectors.

Mr. Schuenemann is active in his community and spent many years as a volunteer pro-bono attorney at Mid Penn Legal Services where he defended low-income clients from debt collection

actions. Additionally, Mr. Schuenemann spent four years as the Chairman of the Board of the Reading Area Water Authority, two years as an Executive Board Member of the Reading Redevelopment Corporation, and two years as the Vice President of The City of Reading Charter Board.

Mr. Schuenemann received his J.D. from Widener University School of Law in 2005 and is a 1999 graduate of West Chester University where he earned a B.S. in Finance.

Kimberly B. Schwarz

Kimberly Schwarz is an associate at Grant & Eisenhofer. She focuses her practice on complex pharmaceutical and medical device litigation. Ms. Schwarz earned her law degree from Rutgers School of Law in 2010. She graduated with high honors from Rutgers University School of Business in 2002 where she received her B.S. in Business Management.

Tracy L. Sepehriazar

Tracy Sepehriazar is an associate at Grant & Eisenhofer who focuses on complex securities fraud litigation in class action cases, as well as appraisal actions. She also has experience handling cases asserting claims under the False Claims Acts. Ms. Sepehriazar received her law degree from the University of Houston Law Center in 2003, where she completed an externship at the Methodist Health Care System. Before joining Grant & Eisenhofer, Ms. Sepehriazar focused her practice on the area of health law. Upon graduating from law school, she worked at a mid-sized firm in Houston where she concentrated primarily on asbestos litigation. She also worked for a small transactional health law firm in San Antonio, Texas.

Ms. Sepehriazar received her B.S. in Business Administration with a Concentration in International Business Management from Goldey-Beacom College in 1997, where she graduated *magna cum laude*. Prior to entering law school, Ms. Sepehriazar gained business experience as an analyst at JP Morgan. Upon relocating to Texas, she continued to pursue a career in the financial industry while obtaining her law degree. Ms. Sepehriazar is a member of the Delaware Bar Association.

Shannon T. Somma

Shannon Somma is an associate at Grant & Eisenhofer. Her focus is on antitrust, and she has experience in appraisals and securities fraud class actions. She has also worked on cases in intellectual property, pharmaceutical, and environmental litigation.

Ms. Somma graduated in 1999 from the University of Delaware with a B.A. degree in psychology, and thereafter received her J.D. degree from Widener University School of Law in 2005.

Charles C. Sweedler

Charles Sweedler is an associate at Grant & Eisenhofer, focusing on securities fraud and shareholder litigation. Mr. Sweedler received his J.D. from William & Mary Law School, where he was Publication Editor of the *William & Mary Law Review*.

Before joining Grant & Eisenhofer, Mr. Sweedler was General Counsel for a Philadelphia-based non-profit organization. Previously, he was an associate attorney at two Philadelphia law firms, where he focused on antitrust, consumer protection, and other complex class action litigation.

Mr. Sweedler received his B.A. from Cornell University, where he was a history major. After receiving his M.Ed. from the University of Maryland and before entering law school, Mr. Sweedler was a teacher in the Washington, D.C. area.

Vivek Upadhyia

Vivek Upadhyia is an associate at Grant & Eisenhofer, focusing on securities, appraisal, whistleblower/*qui tam* and complex pharmaceutical and medical device litigation.

Mr. Upadhyia is currently representing clients in a derivative suit against Tesla's board of directors and has previously represented investors challenging mergers, including an action against Regency Energy Partners pending in the Delaware Court of Chancery. Mr. Upadhyia was also involved in *In re JPMorgan Chase & Co Securities Litigation* (S.D.N.Y.), which resulted in a \$150 million settlement. His other recent work includes Delaware Chancery Appraisal cases *In re Appraisal of Jarden Corporation* and *In re Appraisal of Solera Holdings, Inc.* Additionally, Mr. Upadhyia worked on multi-district litigation involving prescription drugs such as Xarelto and Zofran.

Mr. Upadhyia received his J.D. from Emory University School of Law, where he served as a managing editor for the *Emory Law Journal*. He received his B.A. in law and political science from the University of Utrecht in the Netherlands, and was born and raised in India.

Viola Vetter

Viola Vetter is an associate at Grant & Eisenhofer where she focuses on sovereign and public entity representation, primarily in matters seeking to redress environmental contamination. Ms. Vetter currently represents several state Attorneys General and municipalities in environmental litigation. In that role, she is prosecuting claims against Monsanto Co. arising out of that company's production, marketing, and sale of toxic PCBs, which now contaminate natural resources and municipal stormwater systems throughout the nation, and against 3M Co. and other manufacturers of toxic firefighting foam laced with toxic PFAS chemicals, which now contaminate groundwater, drinking water, and other public resources. Ms. Vetter also represents investors in corporate governance and securities litigation, including in cross-border disputes.

Prior to joining Grant & Eisenhofer, Ms. Vetter was an associate at an international law firm, resident in Philadelphia, representing corporate clients in complex commercial, consumer and *qui tam* matters in state and federal courts.

Ms. Vetter earned her J.D. from Temple University Beasley School of Law in 2007, where she was a member of the *Temple Political & Civil Rights Law Review*. She received her B.S. in International Business and Political Philosophy, *magna cum laude*, from Elizabethtown College in 2004.

Ms. Vetter was selected to the 2015-2016 *Pennsylvania Super Lawyers* Rising Stars list for Business Litigation. She is fluent in English and German.

Jason H. Wilson

Jason Wilson is an associate at Grant & Eisenhofer where he focuses on sovereign and public entity representation, primarily in matters to address the systemic environmental contamination of public resources. Currently, Mr. Wilson is prosecuting claims against Monsanto Co. arising out of that company's production, marketing, and sale of toxic PCBs, which now contaminate natural resources and municipal stormwater systems throughout the nation, and against 3M Co. and other manufacturers of toxic PFAS chemicals, which contaminate groundwater, drinking water, and other public resources. Mr. Wilson also represents investors and whistleblowers in corporate governance and securities litigation.

Prior to joining Grant & Eisenhofer, Mr. Wilson was an associate at an international law firm, resident in Philadelphia, defending shareholder disputes, consumer class actions, antitrust, bankruptcy, environmental litigation, and government investigations related to the False Claims Act, Anti-Kickback Act and Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. Regarding his experience in shareholder disputes, Mr. Wilson defended numerous securities class actions, derivative suits and various shareholder requests for books and records. Before that, he spent three years in the litigation department of a large New York law firm. Mr. Wilson also served as a law clerk to Judge William H. Walls of the US District Court for the District of New Jersey.

Mr. Wilson earned his J.D. from Columbia Law School in 2004 where he was a Harlan Fisk Stone Scholar, was awarded the Alfred S. Forsyth Prize for dedication to the advancement of environmental law, and served as Editor-in-Chief of the *Columbia Environmental Law Journal*. He received his B.A. in History and a concentration in Environmental Science from Williams College in 1999.

Ivan B. Woods

Ivan Woods is an associate at Grant & Eisenhofer, focusing on securities, appraisal and environmental litigation. He was part of G&E teams whose efforts resulted in significant awards for their clients, including *In re JP Morgan Chase & Co. Securities Litigation* (\$150 million recovery) and the \$1 billion settlement in the *Royal Bank of Scotland* case in the United Kingdom.

Prior to joining Grant & Eisenhofer, Mr. Woods worked as a consultant for several national law firms and was on the claim management and legal staff of several New Jersey insurance companies where he supervised fraud and training divisions as well as focused on corporate law and regulatory compliance.

Mr. Woods earned his J.D. from Rutgers School of Law, Newark in 1997 and his B.S. in education from Auburn University in 1976. Mr. Woods is a member of the New Jersey State Bar Association.

Selected Institutional Client Representations

G&E has represented or is currently representing a number of institutional investors in major securities fraud actions, shareholder derivative suits, other breach-of-fiduciary-duty cases and related ancillary proceedings around the country. Some of the Firm's cases include:

(A) In Securities Fraud Litigation:

(1) CellStar

In one of the earliest cases filed after the enactment of PSLRA, the State of Wisconsin Investment Board ("SWIB") was designated lead plaintiff and G&E was appointed lead counsel in *Gluck v. CellStar Corp.*, 976 F.Supp. 542 (N.D.Tex. 1997). The cited opinion is widely considered the landmark on standards applicable to the lead plaintiff/lead counsel practice under PSLRA. (See, especially, *In re Cendant Corp. Litig.*, 2001 WL 980469, at *40, *43 (3d Cir. Aug. 28, 2001), citing the CellStar case.) After the CellStar defendants' motion to dismiss failed and a round of discovery was completed, the parties negotiated a \$14.6 million settlement, coupled with undertakings on CellStar's part for significant corporate governance changes as well. With SWIB's active lead in the case, the class recovery, gross before fees and expenses, was approximated to be 56% of the class' actual loss claims, about 4 times the historical 14% average gross recovery in securities fraud litigation. Because of the competitive process that SWIB had undertaken in the selection of counsel, resulting in a contingent fee percentage significantly less than the average 31% seen historically, the net recovery to the class after all claims were submitted came to almost 50% of actual losses, or almost 5 times the average net recovery.

(2) Pfizer

G&E was class counsel in a certified federal securities class action against Pfizer and certain of its former officers and directors. Plaintiffs alleged that Pfizer affirmatively misrepresented the cardiovascular safety of its multi-billion-dollar arthritis drugs, Celebrex and Bextra, and actively concealed adverse safety information concerning the products in order to win market share from Merck's competing Cox-2 drug, Vioxx. In 2004 and 2005, when the truth about the cardiovascular risks of Celebrex and Bextra was finally revealed, Pfizer shareholders collectively lost billions of dollars. Plaintiffs also alleged that certain former officers and directors of Pfizer illegally sold shares of Pfizer stock during the class period while in possession of material, non-public information concerning the drugs.

The case was extensively litigated for nearly 10 years, with millions of pages of documents produced and more than 50 depositions taken. Prior to the beginning of merits discovery, the parties engaged in a Daubert proceeding in which Pfizer argued that there was no scientific basis for a claim that Celebrex and Bextra were associated with adverse cardiovascular effects. Both sides submitted extensive

expert reports and, after a 5 day trial, the Court completely rejected Pfizer's challenges to Plaintiffs' expert testimony. Defendants' motion for summary judgment was denied in most respects, although the Court held that Pfizer could not be held liable for a few statements made by its co-promoters concerning the drugs. In 2014, however, the Court granted Defendants' motion to exclude the testimony of Plaintiffs' expert concerning damages and causation, Professor Daniel Fischel, and thereafter granted summary judgment for Defendants because without Fischel's testimony, Plaintiffs could not prove damages or loss causation. Plaintiffs appealed to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, and on April 12, 2016, the Court of Appeals reversed. The Court of Appeals held that the District Court abused its discretion in excluding Fischel's testimony and further held that the District Court's erred in granting summary judgment to Defendants concerning the statements made by Pfizer's co-promoter. Defendants moved in the Court of Appeals for rehearing *en banc*. While that motion was pending, the parties agreed on a settlement of the litigation providing for a cash payment by Pfizer of \$486 million. The parties then jointly moved, and the Court of Appeals agreed, to hold the rehearing petition in abeyance pending the District Court's consideration of the proposed settlement. The District Court held a conference on September 13, 2016 to consider whether to grant preliminary approval to the settlement and authorize the transmission of notice of the settlement to class members. The settlement was preliminarily approved on September 16, 2016, and on December 21, 2016, final approval was obtained. *In re Pfizer Inc. Securities Litigation*, SD-NY, No. 04-9866.

(3) DaimlerChrysler

Florida State Board of Administration was appointed lead plaintiff and G&E co-lead counsel in the PSLRA class action on behalf of shareholders of the former Chrysler Corporation who exchanged their shares for stock in DaimlerChrysler in Chrysler's 1998 business combination with Daimler-Benz AG which was represented at the time as a "merger of equals." Shortly before trial, the defendants agree to a \$300 million cash settlement, among the largest securities class action settlements since the enactment of the PSLRA. *In re DaimlerChrysler Securities Litigation*, D. Del., C.A. No. 00-0993.

(4) Oxford Health Plans

Public Employees' Retirement Association of Colorado ("ColPERA") engaged G&E to represent it to seek the lead plaintiff designation in the numerous securities fraud actions that were consolidated into *In re Oxford Health Plans, Inc., Securities Litig.*, S.D.N.Y., MDL Docket No. 1222 (CLB). The court ordered the appointment of ColPERA as a co-lead plaintiff and G&E as a co-lead counsel. G&E and its co-leads filed the Consolidated Amended Complaint. Memorandum opinions and orders were entered denying defendants' motions to dismiss (see 51 F.Supp. 2d 290 (May 28, 1999) (denying KPMG motion) and 187 F.R.D. 133 (June 8, 1999) (denying motion of Oxford and individual director

defendants)). The case settled for \$300 million, another settlement negotiated by G&E that is among the largest settlements since the enactment of the PSLRA.

(5) Dollar General

The U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Tennessee ordered the appointment of Florida State Board of Administration and the Teachers' Retirement System of Louisiana as lead plaintiffs and G&E as co-lead counsel in a PSLRA and Rule 10b-5 case against the defendant company, its accountants, and individual insiders who allegedly issued false and misleading statements over an alleged 3-year Class Period and failed to disclose adverse facts about the company's financial results. Settlements were approved involving a cash payment of \$162 million from the company and the individual defendants, an additional \$10.5 million from Deloitte & Touche, LLP (Dollar General's accountants), and beneficial governance reforms for Dollar General. *In re Dollar General Securities Litigation*, M.D. Tenn., No. 3:01-0388, orders dated July 19, 2001 and September 29, 2003.

(6) Just For Feet

G&E represented the State of Wisconsin Investment Board ("SWIB") in a federal securities class action against certain officers and directors of Just For Feet, Inc., and against Just For Feet's auditors, in the Northern District of Alabama. That action arose out of the defendants' manipulation of the company's accounting practices to materially misstate the company's financial results. Having been appointed co-lead plaintiff, SWIB, with G&E as its counsel, took primary responsibility for the case. (*SWIB v. Ruttenberg, et al.*, N.D. Ala., CV 99-BU-3097-S and 99-BU-3129-S, 102 F. Supp. 2d 1280 (N.D. Ala. 2000)). SWIB obtained a policy limits settlement with the individual defendants' D&O carrier and an additional \$7.4 million from Just For Feet's auditor, for a recovery totaling approximately \$32 million.

(7) Waste Management

G&E filed a non-class federal securities action against Waste Management, Inc., its former and current directors, and the company's accountants in the Northern District of Florida, on behalf of Lens Investment Management, LLC and Ram Trust Services, Inc. The complaint alleged that Waste Management had, over a five-year period, issued financial statements and other public statements that were materially false and misleading due to the defendants' fraudulent and improper accounting manipulations. G&E also filed non-class actions in Illinois state court, asserting similar claims on behalf of the Florida State Board of Administration ("FSBA") and the Teachers' Retirement System of Louisiana. After G&E successfully defeated the defendants' motions to dismiss FSBA's complaint in state court, FSBA's cause of action was transferred to the Northern District of Florida. At the point where there were competing motions for summary judgment pending, G&E successfully negotiated a settlement pursuant to which each plaintiff received several times what it would have received in the class action.

Florida State Board of Administration, Ram Trust Services, Inc. and Lens Investment Management, LLC v. Waste Management, Inc., et al., N.D.Fla., No. 4:99CV66-WS, amended complaint filed June 21, 1999; and *Teachers' Retirement System of Louisiana v. Waste Management, Inc., et al.*, Circuit Ct., Cook Co. [Ill.], No. 98 L 06034, complaint filed May 18, 1999.

(8) Total Renal Care

In June 1999, the Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System and Teachers' Retirement System of Louisiana were appointed as Lead Plaintiffs in a federal securities class action against Total Renal Care ("TRC") and certain of its officers and directors, in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. G&E served as Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel. Plaintiffs filed their Corrected Consolidated Amended Complaint against the defendants, alleging, *inter alia*, that the defendants manipulated TRC's financial statements so as to materially overstate TRC's revenues, income and assets and to artificially inflate TRC's stock price. G&E negotiated a settlement requiring TRC's payment of \$25 million into a settlement fund for the class and the company's adoption of certain internal corporate governance policies and procedures designed to promote the future accountability of TRC's management to its stockholders. At the time of the settlement, this amount represented 33% of the value of the Company's shares. *In re Total Renal Care Securities Litigation*, C.D. Cal., Master File No. CV-99-01745 CBM.

(9) Safety-Kleen

G&E was sole lead counsel for the plaintiffs in a federal securities class action and a series of related individual actions against former officers, directors, auditors and underwriters of Safety-Kleen Corporation, who are alleged to have made false and misleading statements in connection with the sale and issuance of Safety-Kleen bonds. *In re Safety-Kleen Corp. Bondholders Litig.*, D.S.C., No. 3:00-CV-1145-17, consolidated complaint filed January 23, 2001. In March of 2005, after a jury had been selected for trial, the auditor defendant settled with the class and individual claimants for \$48 million. The trial then proceeded against the director and officer defendants. After seven weeks of trial, the director defendants settled for \$36 million, and the court entered judgment as a matter of law in favor of the class and against the company's CEO and CFO, awarding damages of \$192 million.

(10) Styling Technology Corporation

G&E represented funds managed by Conseco Capital Management, Inc., Credit Suisse Asset Management, Pilgrim American Funds and Oppenheimer Funds, Inc. in a securities action brought in May 2001, asserting both federal (1933 Act) and state claims brought in the Superior Court of California. The suit alleged that certain former officers, as well as the independent auditors, of Styling Technology Corporation made false and misleading statements in connection with the sale and issuance of Styling Technology bonds. Styling Technology filed for bankruptcy

protection under Chapter 11 in August 1999. In October 2000, discovery of accounting irregularities and improperly recognized revenue forced the Company to restate its financial statements for the years 1997 and 1998. Plaintiffs, owning \$66.5 million of the total \$100 million in bonds sold in the offering, settled the case for a recovery representing approximately 46% of the losses suffered by the client funds that they manage. *Franklin High Income Trust, et al. v. Richard R. Ross, et al.*, Cal. Super., San Mateo Co. [Calif.], Case No: 415057, complaint filed November 28, 2000.

(11) Tyco

G&E served as co-lead counsel representing co-lead plaintiffs Teachers' Retirement System of Louisiana and Louisiana State Employees' Retirement System in a securities class action against Tyco International Ltd. and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. The complaint alleged that the defendants, including Tyco International, Dennis Kozlowski, and other former executives and directors of Tyco and PricewaterhouseCoopers, made false and misleading public statements and omitted material information about Tyco's finances in violation of Sections 10(b), 14, 20A and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Tyco agreed to fund \$2.975 billion in cash to settle these claims, representing the single largest payment from any corporate defendant in the history of securities class action litigation. PricewaterhouseCoopers also agreed to pay \$225 million to settle these claims, resulting in a total settlement fund in excess of \$3.2 billion.

(12) Global Crossing

Ohio Public Employees' Retirement System and the Ohio Teachers' Retirement System were appointed lead plaintiff and G&E was appointed sole lead counsel in a securities class action against Global Crossing, Ltd. and Asia Global Crossing, Ltd. *In re Global Crossing, Ltd. Securities & "ERISA" Litig.*, MDL Docket No. 1472. In November 2004, the Court approved a partial settlement with the Company's former officers and directors, and former outside counsel, valued at approximately \$245 million. In July 2005, the Court approved a \$75 million settlement with the Citigroup-related defendants (Salomon Smith Barney and Jack Grubman). In October 2005, the Court approved a settlement with Arthur Andersen LLP and all Andersen-related defendants for \$25 million. In October 2006, the Court approved a \$99 million settlement with various financial institutions. In total, G&E recovered \$448 million for investors in Global Crossing.

(13) Telxon Corporation

G&E filed a federal securities and common law action against Telxon Corporation, its former officers and directors and its accountants in the Northern District of Ohio on behalf of Wyser-Pratte Management Co., Inc., an investment management firm. Following mediation, G&E negotiated a settlement of all claims. *Wyser-Pratte Management Co., Inc. v. Telxon Corp., et al.*, N.D. Ohio, Case No. 5:02CV1105.

(14) **Hayes Lemmerz**

G&E served as lead counsel to plaintiffs and class members who purchased or acquired over \$1 billion in bonds issued by Hayes Lemmerz International, Inc. G&E negotiated a settlement worth \$51 million. *Pacholder High Yield Fund, Inc. et al. v. Ranko Cucoz et al.*, E.D. Mich., C.A. No. 02-71778.

(15) **Asia Pulp and Paper**

On behalf of bondholders of various subsidiaries of Indonesian paper-making giant Asia Pulp and Paper (“APP”), G&E filed an action alleging that the bondholders were defrauded by APP’s financial statements which were inflated by nearly \$1 billion in fictitious sales. Defendants’ motions to dismiss were denied. *Franklin High Income Trust, et al. v. APP Global Ltd., et al.*, N.Y. Sup. Ct., Trial Div., Index No. 02-602567. The matter was resolved through a confidential settlement.

(16) **Alstom**

Louisiana State Employees’ Retirement System was appointed as co-lead plaintiff and G&E was appointed co-lead counsel in a class action against Alstom SA, a French corporation engaged in power generation, transmission and distribution in France. The suit alleges that Alstom and other defendants made false and misleading statements concerning the growth and financial performance of its transportation subsidiary. G&E achieved a settlement in the amount of \$6.95 million. *In re Alstom SA Sec. Litig.*, S.D.N.Y. 03-cv-6595.

(17) **Parmalat**

G&E was co-lead counsel in this securities class action arising out of a multi-billion dollar fraud at Parmalat, which the SEC described as “one of the largest and most brazen corporate financial frauds in history.” Settlements exceeding \$110 million were reached. *In re Parmalat Sec. Litig.*, S.D.N.Y. 04-MDL-1653.

(18) **Marsh & McLennan**

G&E was co-lead counsel for the class of former Marsh & McLennan shareholders in this federal securities class action alleging that the company, its officers, directors, auditors, and underwriters participated in a fraudulent scheme involving, among other things, bid-rigging and secret agreements to steer business to certain insurance companies in exchange for “kick-back” commissions. After five years of litigation, G&E achieved a \$400 million settlement on behalf of the class. *In re Marsh & McLennan Companies, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, S.D.N.Y. 04-cv-8144.

(19) **Hollinger International**

G&E was co-lead counsel in this securities class action arising out of a company scandal at Hollinger International, Inc. which involves payment of millions of dollars to certain executives, including the company's former CEO, Lord Conrad Black, relating to sales of company assets. G&E negotiated a settlement with Hollinger in the amount of \$37.5 million. *In re Hollinger International Inc. Securities Litigation*, N.D. Ill. 04-C-0834.

(20) **General Motors**

G&E served as co-lead counsel in a securities class action against GM, arising from alleged false statements in GM's financial reports. After about two and a half years of litigation, a settlement was reached with GM for \$277 million, with GM's auditor, Deloitte & Touche contributing an additional \$26 million. The combined \$303 million settlement ranked among the largest shareholder recoveries of 2008. *In re General Motors Corp. Sec. Litig.*, E.D. Mich., MDL No. 1749.

(21) **Delphi**

Delphi is an automotive company that was spun off of General Motors. The company failed as a stand-alone entity, but concealed its failure from investors. G&E's client, one of the largest pension funds in the world, served as a lead plaintiff, and G&E served as co-lead counsel in this securities class action, which produced settlements totaling \$325 million from Delphi, its auditor and its director and officers liability insurer. *In re Delphi Corporation Securities Derivative & ERISA Litigation*, E.D. Mich., MDL No. 1725.

(22) **Refco**

A mere two months after going public, Refco admitted that its financials were unreliable because the company had concealed that hundreds of millions of dollars of uncollectible receivables were owed to the company by an off-balance sheet entity owned by the company's CEO. G&E served as a co-lead counsel and G&E's client, PIMCO, was a co-lead plaintiff. The case resulted in recoveries totaling \$422 million for investors in Refco's stock and bonds (including \$140 million from the company's private equity sponsor, over \$50 million from the underwriters, and \$25 million from the auditor). *In re Refco, Inc. Securities Litigation*, S.D.N.Y., No. 05 Civ. 8626.

(23) **Sprint**

G&E represented lead plaintiff institutional investor Carlson Capital, L.P. in this class action suit against Sprint Corporation and its former CEO and directors for breach of fiduciary duty in the consolidation of two separate tracking stocks. In December 2007, a \$57.5 million settlement was approved. *In re Sprint Corporation Shareholder Litigation*, D. Kan., No. 04 CV 01714.

(B) In Derivative and Other Corporate Litigation:**(1) Digex**

This case resulted in a settlement of over \$400 million, the largest reported settlement in the history of Delaware corporate litigation. G&E represented the lead plaintiff, TCW Technology Limited Partnership, in alleging that Digex, Inc.'s directors and majority stockholder (Intermedia, Inc.) breached their fiduciary duties in connection with WorldCom's proposed \$6 billion acquisition of Intermedia. Among other issues, WorldCom was charged with attempting to usurp a corporate opportunity that belonged to Digex and improperly waiving on Digex's behalf the protections of Delaware's business combination statute. Following G&E's argument on a motion to preliminarily enjoin the merger, the Court issued an opinion declining to enjoin the transaction but acknowledging plaintiffs' likelihood of success on the merits. *In re Digex, Inc. Shareholders Litigation*, C.A. No. 18336, 2000 WL 1847679 (Del. Ch. Dec. 13, 2000). The case settled soon thereafter.

(2) UnitedHealth Group

G&E represented the Ohio Public Employees Retirement System, State Teachers Retirement System of Ohio, and Connecticut Retirement Plans and Trust Funds as lead plaintiffs in a derivative and class action suit in which G&E successfully challenged \$1.2 billion in back-dated options granted to William McGuire, then-CEO of health care provider UnitedHealth Group. This was among the first – and most egregious – examples of options backdating. G&E's case produced a settlement of \$922 million, the largest settlement in the history of derivative litigation in any jurisdiction. *In re UnitedHealth Group Inc. Shareholder Derivative Litig.*, C.A. No. 06-cv-1216 (D. Minn.)

(3) AIG

In what was, at the time, the largest settlement of derivative shareholder litigation in the history of the Delaware Chancery Court, G&E reached a \$115 million settlement in a suit against former executives of AIG for breach of fiduciary duty. The case challenged hundreds of millions of dollars in commissions paid by AIG to C.V. Starr & Co., a privately held affiliate controlled by former AIG Chairman Maurice "Hank" Greenberg and other AIG directors. The suit alleged that AIG could have done the work for which it paid Starr, and that the commissions were simply a mechanism for Greenberg and other Starr directors to line their pockets. *Teachers' Retirement System of Louisiana v. Greenberg, et al.*, C. A. No. 20106-VCS (Del. Ch.).

(4) Genentech

When Swiss healthcare company Roche offered to buy out biotech leader Genentech Inc. for \$43.7 billion, or \$89 per share, G&E filed a derivative claim on behalf of institutional investors opposed to the buyout. With the pressure of the pending litigation, G&E was able to reach a settlement that provided for Roche to pay \$95 per share, representing an increase of approximately \$3 billion for minority shareholders. *In re Genentech, Inc. Shareholders Litig.*, C.A. No. 3911-VCS (Del. Ch.).

(5) Willamette

In January 2002, at the request of Wyser-Pratte Management Co., Inc. and others, G&E filed a shareholder derivative action in Oregon state court claiming that the board of Willamette Industries, Inc. breached its fiduciary duties by attempting to cause Willamette to acquire the asbestos-ridden building products division of Georgia-Pacific Company as part of a scorched-earth effort to defeat a hostile takeover of Willamette by its chief competitor, Weyerhaeuser Company. G&E obtained an expedited hearing on its motion for a preliminary injunction and obtained an agreement from Willamette at the hearing not to consummate any deal with Georgia-Pacific without providing prior notice to G&E. Almost immediately thereafter, and after years of fighting against Weyerhaeuser's takeover attempts, the Willamette board relented and agreed to sell the company to Weyerhaeuser. *Wyser-Pratte Management Co., Inc. & Franklin Mutual Advisors v. Swindells, et al.*, No. 0201-0085 (Ore. Cir. Ct.).

(6) Medco Research

In January 2000, G&E filed a shareholder derivative action on behalf of State of Wisconsin Investment Board against the directors of Medco Research, Inc. in Delaware Chancery Court. The suit alleged breach of fiduciary duty in connection with the directors' approval of a proposed merger between Medco and King Pharmaceuticals, Inc. G&E was successful in obtaining a preliminary injunction requiring Medco to make supplemental and corrective disclosures. Because of G&E's efforts, the consideration to Medco's stockholders increased by \$4.08 per share, or \$48,061,755 on a class-wide basis. *State of Wisconsin Investment Board v. Bartlett, et al.*, C.A. No. 17727, 2000 WL 193115 (Del. Ch. Feb. 9, 2000).

(7) Occidental Petroleum

G&E represented Teachers' Retirement System of Louisiana and served as co-counsel in a shareholders' derivative suit against the directors of Occidental Petroleum Corporation, challenging as corporate waste the company's excessive compensation arrangements with its top executives. Filed in California state court, the case settled when the company agreed to adopt California Public Employees' Retirement System's model principles of corporate governance and undertook to reconstitute its key committees so as to meet the tests of

independence under those principles. *Teachers' Retirement System of Louisiana v. Irani et al.*, No. BC1850009 (Cal. Super.).

(8) Staples, Inc.

On behalf of Teachers' Retirement System of Louisiana, G&E challenged Staples, Inc.'s proposed "recapitalization" plan to unwind a tracking stock, Staples.com, which it created in 1998. G&E obtained a preliminary injunction against the deal and the deal terms were ultimately altered resulting in a \$15-\$20 million gain for shareholders. Additional disclosures were also required so that shareholders voted on the challenged transaction based on a new proxy statement with substantial additional disclosures. *In re Staples, Inc. Shareholders Litigation*, C.A. No. 18784, 2001 WL 640377 (Del. Ch. June 5, 2001).

(9) SFX/Clear Channel Merger

G&E filed a class action on behalf of stockholders of SFX, challenging the merger between SFX and Clear Channel. While the SFX charter required that in any acquisition of SFX all classes of common stockholders be treated equally, the merger, as planned, provided for approximately \$68 million more in consideration to the two Class B stockholders (who happened to be the senior executives of SFX) than to the public stockholders. The merger was structured so that stockholders who voted for the merger also had to vote to amend the Charter to remove the non-discrimination provisions as a condition to the merger. G&E negotiated a settlement whereby \$34.5 million more was paid to the public stockholders upon closing of the merger. This was more than half the damages alleged in the Complaint. *Franklin Advisers, Inc., et al. v. Sillerman, et al.*, C.A. No. 17878 (Del. Ch.).

(10) Lone Star Steakhouse & Saloon

G&E filed a derivative lawsuit on behalf of California Public Employees' Retirement System ("CALPERS") against Lone Star's former CEO, Jamie Coulter, and six other Lone Star directors. The suit alleged that the defendants violated their fiduciary duties in connection with their approval of the company's acquisition of CEI, one of Lone Star's service providers, from Coulter, as well as their approvals of certain employment and compensation arrangements and option repricing programs. Before filing the suit, G&E had assisted in CALPERS in filing a demand for books and records pursuant to Section 220 of the Delaware General Corporation Law. The company's response to that demand revealed the absence of any documentation that the board ever scrutinized transactions between Lone Star and CEI, that the board negotiated the purchase price for CEI, or that the board analyzed or discussed the repricing programs. In August 2005, the Court approved a settlement negotiated by G&E whereby Lone Star agreed to a repricing of options granted to certain of its officers and directors, payments from certain of the officers and directors related to option grants, and a \$3 million payment from Lone Star's director and officer insurance policy. Lone Star further acknowledged that the lawsuit was one of the significant factors considered in its

adoption of certain corporate governance reforms. *California Public Employees' Retirement System v. Coulter, et al.*, C.A. No. 19191 (Del. Ch.).

(11) **Siebel**

The issue of excessive executive compensation has been of significant concern for investors, yet their concerns have remained largely unaddressed due to the wide discretion afforded corporate boards in establishing management's compensation. G&E effected a sea change in the compensation policies of Siebel Systems, a leading Silicon Valley-based software developer long considered to be an egregious example of executive compensation run amok, and caused Thomas Siebel, the company's founder and CEO, to cancel 26 million options with a potential value of \$54 million. Since the company's founding in 1996, Siebel Systems had paid Mr. Siebel nearly \$1 billion in compensation, largely in the form of lavish stock options that violated the shareholder-approved stock option plan. In addition, the company had paid its directors millions of dollars for their service on the board, also in the form of stock options, at levels exponentially higher than that paid to directors on the boards of similar companies. G&E, on behalf of Teachers' Retirement System of Louisiana, commenced a derivative action challenging the company's compensation practices in September of 2002 even though a prior, similar lawsuit had been dismissed. Following a hard-fought and acrimonious litigation, G&E successfully negotiated a settlement that, in addition to the options cancellation, included numerous corporate governance reforms. The company agreed to, *inter alia*, restructure its compensation committee, disclose more information regarding its compensation policies and decisions, cause its outside auditor to audit its option plans as part of the company's annual audit, and limit the compensation that can be paid to directors. The Siebel Systems settlement generated considerable favorable press in the industry, as investors and compensation experts anticipated that the reforms adopted by Siebel Systems could affect how other companies deal with compensation issues. *Teachers' Retirement System of Louisiana v. Thomas M. Siebel, et al.*, C. A. No. 425796 (Cal. Super.).

(12) **HealthSouth Corporation**

G&E filed a derivative and class action lawsuit on behalf of Teachers' Retirement System of Louisiana against HealthSouth Corporation, its auditors, certain individual defendants, and certain third parties seeking, *inter alia*, an order forcing the HealthSouth board of directors to hold an annual shareholder meeting for the purpose of electing directors, as no such meeting had been held for over thirteen months. Following a trial, G&E negotiated a settlement of part of its claims, pursuant to which five of the defendant directors who were alleged to have engaged in improper self-dealing with the company agreed to resign and be replaced by directors selected by a committee comprised in part by institutional investors of HealthSouth. *Teachers' Retirement System of Louisiana v. Scrushy*, Del. Ch., C.A. No. 20529 (March 2, 2004).

(13) NYSE/Archipelago

G&E served as co-lead counsel in a class action in New York state court, brought on behalf of a class of seat holders of the New York Stock Exchange (“NYSE”) challenging the proposed merger between the NYSE and Archipelago Holdings, LLC. The complaint alleged that the terms of the proposed merger were unfair to the NYSE seat holders, and that by approving the proposed merger, the NYSE board of directors had violated their fiduciary duties of care, loyalty and candor, because the transaction was the result of a process that was tainted by conflicts of interest and the directors failed adequately to inform themselves of the relevant facts. The court denied the defendants’ motion to dismiss, and after expedited discovery, including over 30 depositions in a five week period, a preliminary injunction evidentiary hearing was held, in which plaintiffs sought to postpone the vote on the merger until a new, current fairness opinion was obtained from an independent financial advisor. On the second day of the hearing, the defendants agreed to the relief being sought, namely that they would obtain a new, current fairness opinion from an independent financial advisor. *In re New York Stock Exchange/Archipelago Merger Litig.*, No. 601646/05 (Sup. Ct. N.Y. Co.)

(14) Caremark / CVS

G&E represented institutional shareholders in this derivative litigation challenging the conduct of the board of directors of Caremark Rx Inc. in connection with the negotiation and execution of a merger agreement with CVS, Inc., as well as that board’s decision to reject a competing proposal from a different suitor. Ultimately, through the litigation, G&E was able to force Caremark’s board not only to provide substantial additional disclosures to the public shareholders, but also to renegotiate the terms of the merger agreement with CVS to provide Caremark shareholders with an additional \$3.19 billion in cash consideration and to ensure Caremark’s shareholders had statutory appraisal rights in the deal. *Louisiana Municipal Police Employees’ Retirement System, et al. v. Crawford, et al.*, C.A. No. 2635-N (Del. Ch.).

(15) AIG

G&E achieved a settlement of derivative claims against former American International Group, Inc. (“AIG”) CEO Hank Greenberg and other officers of the insurer in connection with a well-documented bid-rigging scheme used to inflate the company’s income. The scheme — which included an array of wrongful activities, such as sham insurance transactions intended to deceive shareholders and illegal contingent commissions which amounted to kickbacks to obtain business — caused billions of dollars’ worth of damage to AIG, and ultimately led to the restatement of years of financial statements.

In approving a settlement that returned \$90 million to AIG, the Court said the settlement was “an incentive for real litigation” with “a lot of high-quality lawyering.” *In re American International Group, Inc., Consolidated Derivative Litigation*. Delaware Chancery Court, 769-VCS

(16) Del Monte Foods

G&E served as lead counsel in shareholder litigation in which the Firm obtained an \$89.4 million settlement against Del Monte Foods Co. and Barclays Capital. On February 14, 2011, the Delaware Chancery Court issued a ground-breaking order enjoining not only the shareholder vote on the merger, but the merger agreement's termination fee and other mechanisms designed to deter competing bids. As a result of plaintiff's efforts, the Board was forced to conduct a further shopping process for the company. Moreover, the opinion issued in connection with the injunction has resulted in a complete change on Wall Street regarding investment banker conflicts of interests and company retention of investment bankers in such circumstances. *In re Del Monte Shareholder Litigation*, C.A. No. 6027-VCL (Del. Ch).

(17) Facebook

G&E served as co-lead counsel for plaintiffs, alleging that Facebook Chairman and CEO Mark Zuckerberg, as well as other officers and directors, breached their fiduciary duties to the class by approving the reclassification of Facebook stock. The reclassification, if implemented, would have allowed Mark Zuckerberg to maintain majority voting control while reducing his economic stake in the Company by over 65%. Just days before the trial was set to begin with Mark Zuckerberg's testimony, the Facebook Board of Directors met and decided to abandon the reclassification. Because G&E was seeking to enjoin the reclassification, the Board's abandonment of it was a complete win for the plaintiffs and the class. *In re Facebook Class C Reclassification Litigation*, C.A. No. 12286 (Del Ch).

(C) In Securities Class Action Opt-Out Litigation

(1) AOL Time Warner, Inc.

G&E filed an opt-out action against AOL Time Warner, its officers and directors, auditors, investment bankers and business partners. The case challenged certain transactions entered by the company to improperly boost AOL Time Warner's financials. G&E was able to recover for its clients more than 6 times the amount that they would have received in the class case.

(2) BankAmerica Corp.

G&E filed an individual action seeking to recover damages caused by the defendants' failure to disclose material information in connection with the September 30, 1998 merger of NationsBank Corporation and BankAmerica Corporation. G&E was preparing the case for trial when it achieved a settlement whereby the firm's client received more than 5 times what it would have received

in the related class action. Those proceeds were also received approximately one year earlier than the proceeds from the class action settlement.

(3) **Bristol-Myers Squibb**

G&E filed an opt-out action against Bristol-Myers Squibb, certain of its officers and directors, its auditor, and Imclone, Inc., alleging that Bristol-Myers had falsified billions of dollars of revenue as part of a scheme of earnings management. While the federal class action was dismissed and eventually settled for only 3 cents on the dollar, G&E's action resulted in a total settlement representing approximately 10 times what the firm's clients likely would have received from the class action.

(4) **Petrobras**

G&E filed securities fraud actions in Manhattan federal court on behalf of several U.S. and European public and private institutional investors against Petrobras, the Brazilian oil conglomerate, arising out of a decade-long bribery and kickback scheme that has been called the largest corruption scandal in Brazil's history. The action alleged that Petrobras concealed bribes to senior officers and government officials and improperly capitalized these bribes as assets on its books in order to inflate the value of the company's refineries. Many of these officers and officials have pled guilty before the Brazilian courts to charges stemming from their participation in the alleged scheme. G&E settled the action before the class action was resolved, and our clients received 2-3 times more than they would have had they stayed in the class, and received their share of the settlement at least two years before a class distribution.

(5) **Qwest Communications**

G&E filed an individual action against Qwest, its accountant (Arthur Andersen LLP), Solomon Smith Barney, and current and former officers and directors of those companies. The case alleged that Qwest used "swap deals" to book fake revenue and defraud investors. G&E was able to recover for its clients more than 10 times what they would have recovered had they remained members of the class.

(6) **WorldCom**

G&E filed an opt-out action against former senior officers and directors of WorldCom, including former CEO Bernard Ebbers, and Arthur Andersen LLP (WorldCom's former auditor), among others. The case stemmed from the widely-publicized WorldCom securities fraud scandal that involved false and misleading statements made by the defendants concerning WorldCom's financials, prospects and business operations. G&E recovered for its clients more than 6 times what they would have received from the class action.